Des Moines Marina Master Plan Update

Municipal Facilities Committee February 25, 2021

Katy Bevegni, Assistant Harbor Master Dan Brewer, Chief Operations Officer

Purpose and Objectives

- Review Zones:
 - ► Marina Zone
 - Waterfront Zone
 - Redondo Zone
- Review Condition Assessment Report Reid Middleton Report
- Review Draft Marina Improvement Plan (MIP)
- Discuss and review Master Plan schedule and next steps

Marina Master Planning Work

Defining the Zones



Current Marina Overview & Assets Water-side

- > 730 in water slips ranging in size from 20' 50', with a few larger end tie spaces
 - 2/3 of these slips are 28' and smaller
 - ~2/3 of slips are covered
- > 38 in water guest moorage slips and side tie spaces
- ► Fuel Dock: gas & diesel
- > 25 ton haulout travelift near CSR Boatyard
- Current Water-side Leases:
 - Ranger Tugs
 - Ocean Quest
 - Puget Sound Sailing Institute
 - Classics Yachts
 - Care Free Boat Club (Pending)

Current Marina Overview & Assets Land-side (Approx. 9 Acres)

- Tenant Parking (~300 spaces)
- Marina Office & Roundabout parking lot
- Dry Storage Lot
- Dry Sheds (72)
- Sidewalk/Promenade area
- > 2 Marina tenant restrooms w/ showers
- Current Land-side Leases:
 - CSR Marine Boatyard
 - Quarter Deck Coffee, Beer, and Wine Bar
 - SR-3 Sea-Life Hospital and Recovery Center

Marina Master Plan Work completed to date

- Meetings with DMMA Board Members
 (Todd Powell, Bill Linscott, Ken Rogers, and others)
- Mark Bunzel (Waggoner)
 - Phase 1 Report The Future Vision and Boating Demand
 - DMMA Presentations
 - Presentation to Stakeholders at Senior Center
 - Phase 2 Report Financial Analysis
 - Pending
- Makers Waterfront Visioning
- Robert Holms Marina Redevelopment (Land-side)
 - Community Outreach Argosy Cruise Ship & Yacht Club
 - City Council Briefings
 - Coordinated and Integrated with Water-side

- Passenger Only Ferry Studies
 - diefrich*rpm (Jill & Kyle)
 - PSRC kpff Study
 - Peter Phillips, Bruce Agnew, and others
- Geotechnical Report kpff
- Condition Assessment Report Reid Middleton

Changes Coming to the Marina (out of necessity and opportunity)

- Reasons:
 - In-water and Marina Floor infrastructure are over 50 years old
 - Many elements are at, past, or reaching their end of useful service life
 - Existing systems do not meet present-day building codes
 - Existing in-water construction materials are environmentally unfriendly
 - Existing in-water storage configuration, with too many small slips and too few large slips, is not meeting existing demand, nor will it meet projected future demands.
- A Few Instances:
 - Current dry storage sheds are in need of repair and do not provide optimal use of the valuable marina floor.
 - Covered moorage system is in poor condition with failing timber support post showing camber from previous snow -load.
 - Corrosion of steel truss members on many uncovered docks.
 - Average monthly vacancy rate of smaller slips (20'-28') continues to increase.

Marina Master Planning Work Condition Assessment Report by Reid Middleton

- Excerpts:
 - A-1: Visual Assessment and Rating
 - A-2- A-5: Individual Dock Conditions
 - A-6: Estimated Service Life
 - ► A-7: Conclusion
- Full report will be made available on the City's Web Site

Marina Master Planning Work Condition Assessment Report by Reid Middleton A-1: Visual Assessment and Rating

This assessment was specifically for estimating remaining design life for the in-water facilities.

- **Good:** No visible damage or only minor damage is noted. No repairs are required.
- Satisfactory: Limited minor to moderate deterioration was observed. No repairs are required.
- Fair: Primary elements are sound, but minor to moderate defects or deterioration are observed. Repairs are recommended, but the priority of the recommended repairs is low.
- Poor: Advanced deterioration is observed on widespread portions of the structure. Repairs may need to be executed with moderate urgency.
- Serious: Advanced deterioration or breakage may have affected the primary structural components significantly. Local failures are possible, and repairs should be carried out on a high-priority basis.
- <u>Critical:</u> Extremely advanced deterioration or breakage has resulted in localized failure(s) of primary structural components. More widespread failures are possible or likely to occur, and repairs should be carried out on a high-priority basis.

A-1

VISUAL ASSESSMENT - DOCK FACILITIES

To provide an estimated design life for the inwater facilities, Reid Middleton performed a visual walkthrough of the inwater dock facilities on September 25, 2020, along with marina maintenance staff, including Pat Wolfrom. The visual assessment included walking each of the docks starting with the commercial dock in the north and ending with D Dock in the south, including review of A to C Docks from the shore. The waterfront facilities visually assessed included gangways, floats, piling, covered roof structures, and general dock utilities.

The visible structural components of major systems were viewed. Underwater inspection, material testing, and detailed inspections were not included in the scope and were not conducted. While a condition inspection was not performed, general condition of major infrastructure elements by dock were reviewed following general guidance and methods described in the ASCE Manuals and Reports on Engineering Practice No. 130 (MOP 130), Waterfront Facilities Inspection and Assessment. The following observation condition ratings from MOP 130 are used in this report:

| Good | No visible damage or only minor damage is noted. No repairs are required. |
|--------------|---|
| Satisfactory | Limited minor to moderate deterioration was observed. No repairs are required. |
| Fair | Primary elements are sound, but minor to moderate defects or deterioration are observed. Repairs are recommended, but the priority of the recommended repairs is low. |
| Poor | Advanced deterioration is observed on widespread particus of the |

- Poor Advanced deterioration is observed on widespread portions of the structure. Repairs may need to be executed with moderate urgency.
- Serious Advanced deterioration or breakage may have affected the primary structural components significantly. Local failures are possible, and repairs should be carried out on a high-priority basis.
- Critical Extremely advanced deterioration or breakage has resulted in localized failure(s) of primary structural components. More widespread failures are possible or likely to occur, and repairs should be carried out on a highpriority basis.

This assessment was specifically for estimating remaining design life and was not a detailed inspection. Given the age of the facilities, periodic inspections should be performed in accordance with the ASCE MOP 130-2015, which recommends routine inspections at least every five years for these types of facilities.

The general condition of each structural element by dock lateral observed is summarized in Table 1. Photos of the various elements are included in Appendix A. The following provides a general summary by major structural element.



Marina Master Planning Work Condition Assessment Report by Reid Middleton A-2 thru 5: Individual Dock Conditions

- A-D Docks: Are in Good to Fair condition
- E-N Docks:
 - Timber Float System = POOR
 - Covered Moorage Systems = POOR
 - Effects 410 slips in a 729 slip marina (over 50%)
 - Concrete Float Systems = FAIR
 - Timber Piling = FAIR
 - Primarily original timber piling, approx. 3% removed or replaced due to borer damage.
 - Utilities = FAIR
 - Still the original system with some repairs
 - ▶ M & N Docks in good condition due to upgrades

| projects to replace | the structural compon | A-2 s implemented regular n tent of the concrete floa ill continue to extend th | t systems (waler and th | hru-rods) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Covered Moora | age Roof System | | | | | | | |
| The covered moo support posts are the more exposed | Table 1. Visual A | A-3 ssessment of Dock F | acilities. | | | | | |
| members. While members, the ong | Element | Description/Existing Cor | dition | Rating | | | | |
| deteriorated condi | Utilities | Electrical exetem original with some renaire, basic notable water | | | | | | |
| condition, with sc widespread deteri | Dock F | A-4 | | | | | | |
| primarily due to t | Concrete Float System | Table 1. Visual Assessment of Dock Facilities. | | | | | | |
| trusses. | Timber Float System | Element Description/Existing Condition Rating | | | | | | |
| Table 1. Visua | | Utilities | Electrical system original | with some repairs, basic potable water. | Fair | | | |
| Element | ent Timber Piling Dock I A-5 | | | | | | | |
| Docks A - D | Concrete Float System | | | | | | | |
| Concrete Float Syster | Covered Moorage System | | | | | 1 | | |
| | | Timber Float System | Element Timber Piling | Description/Existing Condition Primarily original timber piling, approximately 3 percent of piling | na romovod or | Rating Fair | | |
| Timber Float System | Utilities | The second second | Timber Filing | replaced due to marine borer damage. | ng removed or | rai | | |
| Timber Piling | Dock G | Timber Piling | Covered Moorage System | Timber support posts, posts show some camber from previou | is snow-load, non- | Poor | | |
| | Concrete Float System | Countred Manage Suret | | galvanized steel truss that has been scraped and painted, co | rrosion of sections of | | | |
| Covered Moorage Sys | Timber Float System | Covered Moorage Syst | | truss members, separate roof over north and south sides of d | | | | |
| Utilities | | | Utilities | Electrical system original with some repairs, basic potable wa | iter. | Fair | | |
| Dock E | Timber Piling | Utilities Dock L | | | | | | |
| Concrete Float Syster | | Deak I | Concrete Float System | Entire uncovered outer eight slips and end ties, waler and thr | ps and end ties, waler and thru-rod systems have F | | | |
| 2 | Covered Moorage System | | | | | | | |
| Timber Float System | | Timber Float System Covered moorage, untreated-timber deck, creosole timber framing, unencased flotation in covered section. | | Poor | | | | |
| Timber Piling | Unities | | na removed or | Fair | | | | |
| | Dock H | replaced due to marine borer damage. | | | | | | |
| Covered Moorage Sys | Concrete Float System Timber Float System | Timber Piling | Covered Moorage System | ber support posts, non-galvanized steel truss that has been scraped and nted, corrosion of sections of truss members, separate roof over north and ith sides of dock. | | Poor | | |
| | Timber Piling | Steel Piling | Utilities | Electrical system original with some repairs, basic potable wa | ter. | Fair | | |
| City of Des Moines | | Original Covered Moora | Dock M | I | | | | |
| Des Moines Marina | Covered Moorage System | System | Concrete Float System | Outer four slips and end ties recently rebuilt. | | Good | | |
| Service Life Report | | New Covered Moorage | Timber Float System | Untreated-timber deck, crecscte timber framing, unencased f | latation in caract | Poor | | |
|) | | System | ninder Float System | section, replaced flotation in uncovered portion with encased | | POOI | | |
| | City of Des Moines Des Moines Marina | Utilities | Timber Piling | Primarily original timber piling, approximately 3 percent of piling replaced due to marine borer damage | ng removed or | Fair | | |
| l | Service Life Report | Dock K | | | | | | |
| | | Concrete Float System | Covered Moorage System | Timber support posts, non-galvanized steel truss that has bee painted, corrosion of sections of truss members, separate roc the north and south sides of dock. | | Poor | | |
| | | Timber Float System | Utilities | Electrical system upgraded, basic potable water. | | Good | | |
| | | | Dock N | | | | | |
| | | | Concrete Float System | Not Applicable. | | Fair | | |
| | | City of Des Moines Des Moines Marina Service Life Report | Timber Float System | Untreated-timber deck, creosote timber framing, unencased f section, replaced flotation in uncovered portion with encased | lotation in covered flotation. | Poor | | |
| | L | | | | | | | |
| | | | City of Des Moines Des Moines Marina Service Life Report | - 9- | | cember 8, 2020 eid Middleton | | |
| | | L | | | | | | |

Marina Master Planning Work Condition Assessment Report by Reid Middleton A-6: Estimated Service Life

Table 2. Dock Facilities – Estimated Service Life Remaining.

| ltem | Estimated Service Life Remaining | |
|-------------------|---|--|
| Docks A - D | 15-25 years | Ruff Truss Damage |
| Docks E to I | 10-15 years | |
| Dock J | 10-15 years, 30 years for newer section | |
| Docks K and L | 10-15 years | |
| Dock M | 10 years | |
| Dock N | 10 years | |
| Guest Party Docks | 25-30 years | - All and a second seco |
| Fuel Float | 20-25 years | |
| Commercial Dock | 25 years | |
| Seawall | 5-15 years | |
| Gangways | 10-15 years | Deteriorating Concrete Flo |

Ruff Truss Corrosion

Marina Master Planning Work Condition Assessment Report by Reid Middleton A-7: Conclusion

Due to the age of the Marina

- Timber floats and covered roof structures nearing end of life.
- Covered areas expected to have 10-15 years (at best)
- Deterioration expected to accelerate.
- Estimated service of life does not include extreme events and potential changing environmental regulations.

CONCLUSION

The City of Des Moines Marina was constructed in the late 1960s and early 1970s, with some minor expansion in the 1080s. The investor facility consists of a variaty of consists and timber The City of Des Moines Manna was constructed in the fate 1900s and early 1970s, with some minor expansion in the 1980s. The inwater facility consists of a variety of concrete and timber of a state dock of the dock laterals at the minor expansion in the 1980s. The inwater facility consists of a variety of concrete and timber floating dock structures anchored primarily with timber piling. Six of the dock laterals at the maximum are uncontrol concrete neutron with wood water dock structures. The remaining ten noating dock structures anchored primarity with timber pring. Six or the dock laterals at the marina are uncovered concrete pontoon with wood waler dock structures. The remaining ten dock structures and timber dock laterals are primarily timber docks with unencased flotation and timber and steel truss The City is in the process of an assessment for determining how to proceed with continued Ine City is in the process of an assessment for determining now to proceed with continued stewardship of the marina. As part of that process, the City requested Reid Middleton perform a viewed accompany and provide actimated remaining corvice life for the major invator elements at stewardship of the manna. As part of that process, the City requested read violation perform a visual assessment and provide estimated remaining service life for the major inwater elements at the maxime. While some of the inviter elements such as the concrete dool, structures and nilling Visual assessment and provide estimated remaining service the for the major inwater elements at the marina. While some of the inwater elements such as the concrete dock structures and piling to marine the matic between the matter of the mat the marina. While some of the inwater elements such as the concrete dock structures and pluing have significant remaining life with routine maintenance, other marina elements, in particular the sond of their convice life. timber floats with covered roof structures, are nearing the end of their service life. The timber floats and covered roof structures are 50 years old and approaching the end of their The timber floats and covered root structures are 50 years out and approaching the end of the useful service life. Overall deterioration is expected to accelerate given the age of the systems. The covered moorage and timber float systems are estimated to have approximately 10 to 15 The covered moorage and under noar systems are esumated to have approximately 10 to 15 years of useful service life remaining. The concrete floats have approximately 15 to 25 years of useful service life remaining. These estimates presented that the continued process and years or userul service me remaining. The concrete moats have approximately 15 to 25 years useful service life remaining. These estimates assume that the continued proper repairs and maintenance of all contains components will be performed and that come interim improvements. userul service me remaining. These esumates assume that me commuted proper repairs and maintenance of all system components will be performed and that some interim improvements mannenance of an system components will be performed and that some meeting such as ADA accessibility, service, and amenity upgrades may be implemented. The estimated remaining service life does not consider extreme events and potential changing The estimated remaining service are does not consider extreme events and potential changing environmental regulations. Extreme events may include fire, heavy snow and windstorms, and other estimates in the need for immediate real company. environmental regulations. Extreme events may include the, neavy show and windstorns, and other catastrophic events. An extreme event may result in the need for immediate replacement of infractivity of the marine. Changing regulations and environmental considerations may make outer catastrophic events. An extreme event may result in the need for immediate replacement of infrastructure at the marine. Changing regulations and environmental considerations may make applications applied to a particle consideration of the investor infrastructure at the marine more desirable or particle of the investor infrastructure at the marine more desirable or particle of the investor infrastructure at the marine more desirable or particle of the investor infrastructure at the marine more desirable or particle of the investor infrastructure at the marine more desirable or particle of the investor infrastructure at the marine more desirable or particle of the investor infrastructure at the marine more desirable or particle of the investor infrastructure at the marine more desirable or particle of the investor infrastructure at the marine more desirable or particle of the investor infrastructure at the marine more desirable or particle of the investor infrastructure at the marine more desirable or particle of the investor infrastructure at the marine more desirable or particle of the investor infrastructure at the marine more desirable or particle of the investor infrastructure at the marine more desirable or particle of the investor infrastructure at the marine more desirable or particle of the investor infrastructure at the marine more desirable or particle of the investor infrastructure at the marine more desirable or particle of the investor infrastructure at the marine more desirable or particle of the marine more d mitastructure at the marina. Changing regulations and environmental considerations may make earlier replacement for portions of the inwater infrastructure at the marina more desirable or cost

Marina Master Planning Work Draft Marina Improvement Plan (MIP) - Staff Recommendation

Tier 1 (5-10 years)

- Tenant Restroom
- Dock Replacements/Removals: E,F, G, M, & N
- Dry Sheds / Storage Lot (Marina Redevelopment)
- Electrical System upgrade south of CSR
 - South Lot Parking lighting would coincide.

Tier 2 (10-20 years)

- Bulkhead south of CSR (early T2)
 - Extension of Pedestrian Walkway
- Fuel Tank upgrade *
- Tenant Hoist
- Dock Replacements: H, I, J, K, & L

Tier 3 (20-30 years or opportunity)

- Dock Replacements: A, B, C, & D
- Travel-Lift
- Utilities
- Marina Office
- Guest Moorage Restrooms

Marina Master Planning Work Draft Marina Improvement Plan (MIP) - Docks E, F, G, M, & N



Marina Master Planning Work Schedule and Next Steps

| 2021 | March/April | May/June | July/August | Sept/Oct |
|------|---|--|---|--|
| | Mark Bunzel Report Reid Middleton Assessment Report Staff Recommendations Condition by Marina Assets Policy Questions | Community Meetings DMMA/Tenant Comment Committee review/ recommendations Council decisions on MIP Preliminary Engineering | City Staff edit/Review Permitting and logistics Funding options | Final Marina Master Plan reviews 2021 Master Plan adopted by City Council (Nov.) Update City's Comprehensive Plan (Dec.) |
| | | | | |