

AGENDA

**DES MOINES CITY COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING
City Council Chambers
VIA ZOOM**

September 16, 2021 – 5:00-8:00 p.m.

NOTE: The City of Des Moines is currently operating under a Proclamation of Emergency issued on March 5, 2020 and Governor Inslee’s Stay-at-Home order issued March 23, 2020 in response to the COVID-19 Pandemic. Accordingly, this meeting will be held virtually using Zoom.

Public Comment continues to be encouraged and will be accepted by completing a [council comment form](#) or by mail; Attn: City Clerk Office, 21630 11th Avenue S., Des Moines WA 98198 no later than 4:00 p.m. day of the meeting.

CALL TO ORDER

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ROLL CALL

CORRESPONDENCE

COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC VIA ZOOM/WRITTEN PUBLIC COMMENT

CITY MANAGER REPORT

Item 1: PARKS, RECREATION & SENIOR SERVICES MASTER PLAN UPDATE

CONSENT CALENDAR

Page 3 Item 1: APPROVAL OF VOUCHERS

Motion is to approve for payment vouchers and payroll transfers through September 7, 2021 in the attached list and further described as follows:

Total A/P Checks/Vouchers	#162973-163029	\$ 728,654.55
Electronic Wire Transfers	# 1490-1798	\$ 124,419.64
Payroll Checks	# 19418-19419	\$ 2,068.12
Payroll Direct Deposit	#350001-350160	\$ 392,521.46

Total Checks and Wires for A/P and Payroll: \$1,247,663.77

Page 5 Item 2: APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Motion is to approve the June 17, July 08, and July 22, 2021 City Council Regular Meeting Minutes.

Page 19 Item 3: CONFIRMATION OF CITY MANAGER’S RE-APPOINTMENT OF LISA LEONE TO THE MUNICIPAL COURT JUDGE POSITION
Motion is to confirm the City Manager’s re-appointment of Lisa Leone to the Municipal Court Judge position effective January 1, 2022 through December 31, 2025.

OLD BUSINESS

Page 21 Item 1: SECOND READING OF DRAFT ORDINANCE NO. 21-035 RELATED TO THE ZONING CODE AND REGULATION OF EMERGENCY HOUSING, SHELTERS, PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING AND TRANSITIONAL HOUSING.
Staff Presentation:
Economic Relief & Resource Coordinator/Land Use Planner II
Eric Lane

NEW BUSINESS

Page 39 Item 1: AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT
Staff Presentation: City Manager Michael Matthias

Item 2: INTRODUCTION OF ITEMS FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION
10 Minutes

BOARD & COMMITTEE REPORTS/COUNCILMEMBER COMMENTS – (4 minutes per Councilmember) - 30 minutes

PRESIDING OFFICER’S REPORT

EXECUTIVE SESSION

NEXT MEETING DATE

October 7, 2021 City Council Regular Meeting

ADJOURNMENT

September 16, 2021

Auditing Officer Certification

Vouchers and Payroll transfers audited and certified by the auditing officer as required by RCW 42.24.080, and those expense reimbursement claims certified as required by RCW 42.24.090, have been recorded on a listing, which has been made available to the City Council.

As of **September 16, 2021** the Des Moines City Council, by unanimous vote, does approve for payment those vouchers through September 7, 2021 and payroll transfers through September 3, 2021 included in the attached list and further described as follows:

The vouchers below have been reviewed and certified by individual departments and the City of Des Moines Auditing Officer:

Beth Anne Wroe

Beth Anne Wroe, Finance Director

		# From	# To	Amounts
Claims Vouchers:				
Total A/P Checks/Vouchers		162973	163029	728,654.55
Electronic Wire Transfer		1790	1798	124,419.64
Total claims paid				853,074.19
Payroll Vouchers				
Payroll Checks	9/3/2021	19418	19419	2,068.12
Direct Deposit		350001	350160	392,521.46
Total Paychecks/Direct Deposits paid				394,589.58
Total checks and wires for A/P & Payroll				1,247,663.77

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

MINUTES

**DES MOINES CITY COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING
City Council Chambers
VIA ZOOM
June 17, 2021 – 5:00 p.m.**

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Pina called the meeting to order at 5:00 p.m.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The flag salute was led by Councilmember Harris.

ROLL CALL

Council present: Mayor Matt Pina

Deputy Mayor Matt Mahoney; Councilmembers Luisa Bangs, Traci Buxton, JC Harris, Anthony Martinelli, and Jeremy Nutting attended the meeting via Zoom.

Staff present: Police Chief Ken Thomas; and City Clerk/Communications Director Bonnie Wilkins

City Manager Michael Matthias; City Attorney Tim George; Chief Strategic Officer Susan Cezar; Finance Director Beth Anne Wroe, Harbormaster Scott Wilkins; Planning & Development Service Manager Denise Lathrop; Building Official Larry Pickard; Assistant Building Official Al Biancalana; Public Works Director Brandon Carver; Transportation & Engineering Services Manager Andrew Merges; Surface Water & Environment Engineering Manager Loren Reinhold; Assistant Director of Parks, Recreation and Senior Services Nicole Nordholm; Emergency Preparedness Manager Shannon Kirchberg and Deputy City Clerk Taria Keane attended the meeting via Zoom.

CORRESPONDENCE

- There were no correspondence

COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC via Written Comment

- Mary Eun, MVD and Woodmont Drive
- Alicia Harck, Marine View Drive South/Woodmont Drive (Crash Corner)
- Sharon Morehouse, dangerous intersection on 8th Ave S and 265th Street
- Mike Johnson, Historical Site (Masonic Home)
- Michelle Raus, Crash Corner in Des Moines
- Courtnei Robbins and Tyler Biehle, Improvements needed to Crash Corner
- Anet Fox, Traffic Hazard at Marine View Drive So & Woodmont Ave Intersection
- Rebecca Stapleton, Illegal to conduct as well as attend racing
- Beth Grosskrueger, Water Main Replacement
- Toni Mills, Beta pilot program results and lack of transparency
- Lorie Lucky, Noise Issues Caused by Motor Vehicles

COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC via Zoom

- Lorie Lucky, Noise Issues Caused by Motor Vehicles

CITY MANAGER REPORT

- City Manager Matthias and Chief Strategic Officer Susan Cezar recognized the retirements of Building Official Larry Pickard and Assistant Building Official Al Biancalana
- Transportation & Engineering Services Manager Merges updated the Council on the Consent Calendar Item #3
- Chief Thomas gave Council an update on the New Legislative Laws, Redondo, Body Camera, and WASPC Peer review for Behavioral Health Co-Responder Grant
- Emergency Preparedness Manager Kirchberg updated the Council on the status of the city facilities reopening.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Item 1: APPROVAL OF VOUCHERS

Motion is to approve for payment vouchers and payroll transfers through June 11, 2021 in the attached list and further described as follows:

Total A/P Checks/Vouchers	#162584-162642	\$ 421,716.05
Electronic Wire Transfers	# 1719-1732	\$ 717,823.81
Payroll Checks	# 19408-19408	\$ 435.03
Payroll Direct Deposit	#220001-220152	\$ 380,621.78

Total Checks and Wires for A/P and Payroll: \$1,520,596.67

Item 2: LESBIAN, GAY, BISEXUAL, TRANSGENDER, QUESTIONING PRIDE MONTH (LGTBQ) PROCLAMATION

Motion is to approve the Proclamation recognizing June as LGBTQ Pride Month.

Item 3: NORTH MARINA PARKING LOT BULKHEAD AND RESTROOM REPLACEMENT PROJECT: PUBLIC WORKS CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT AWARD AND CONSULTANT CONTRACT SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT #5

Motion 1 is to direct administration to bring forward a budget amendment to the 2021-2026 Capital Improvement Plan and the 2021 Capital Budget to include the amended North Marina Parking Lot Bulkhead and Restroom Replacement Project, and the associated project modifications to: Barnes Creek Trail, S. 200th St & S. 199th St. Improvements (Segment 1), Beach Park Bulkhead, Promenade & Play Equipment/Water Feature, and the Arterial Traffic Calming as shown in (Attachment 1) and as described herein, and include such amendment in the next available budget amendment ordinance.

Motion 2 is to approve the Public Works Contract with Bergerson Construction, Inc. (Contractor), for North Marina Parking Lot Bulkhead and Restroom Replacement Project Base Bid Proposal, in the amount of \$9,493,859.71, not authorize award of Alternate A Bid Proposal, authorize a project construction contingency in the amount of \$850,000.00, and further authorize the City Manager to sign said Contract substantially in the form as submitted.

Motion 3 is to approve Supplemental Agreement #5 with Exeltech Consulting for the North Marina Parking Lot Bulkhead and Restroom Replacement project in the amount of \$965,437.05 for Construction Management and Inspection Services, and further authorize the City Manager to sign said Agreement substantially in the form as submitted.

- Item 4: 24TH AVENUE PIPELINE REPLACEMENT/UPGRADE PROJECT
Motion 1 is to approve the Task Order Assignment with Parametrix for engineering design services for South 224th Street Storm Sewer Replacement project in the amount of \$46,222.54 plus a contingency in the amount of \$3,777.00, and authorize the City Manager to sign said Task Order Assignment, substantially in the form as submitted.
- Motion 2** is to direct Administration to submit a CIP budget amendment for the 24th Avenue South Improvements Project (Kent-Des Moines Rd. (SR 516) to S. 223rd St) to incorporate the surface water design on South 224th Street.

- Item 5: 2021 DES MOINES FARMERS MARKET AGREEMENT
Motion is to approve the Agreement with the Des Moines Farmers Market for the 2021 Des Moines Waterfront Farmers Market to be held at the Des Moines Marina on Saturdays from June through October, and other market days throughout the year as may be approved by the City Manager, and authorize the City Manager to execute the Agreement substantially in the form as attached.

Direction/Action

Motion made by Councilmember Nutting to approve the Consent Calendar; seconded by Deputy Mayor Mahoney.

Councilmember Harris pulled Item #5

The remainder of the Consent Calendar passed 7-0.

Council discussed Item #5

Motion made by Councilmember Nutting to approve Consent Calendar Item #5 as presented; seconded by Councilmember Buxton.
 Motion passed 7-0.

Mayor Pina read the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Questioning Pride Month (LGTBQ) Proclamation summary into the record.

At 6:05 p.m. Councilmember Bangs left the meeting.

NEW BUSINESS

- Item1: WRIA 9 SALMON HABITAT PLAN 2021 UPDATE ADOPTION
 Staff Presentation: Surface Water & Environment Engineering Manager Loren Reinhold

WRIA 9 Salmon Recovery Manger Matt Goehing gave Council a PowerPoint Presentation on the WRIA 9 Salmon Habitat Plan 2021 Update.

Direction/Action

Motion made by Councilmember Nutting to adopt Draft Resolution No. 21-032 ratifying the 2021 Update to the Water Resource Inventory Area (WRIA) 9 Salmon Habitat Plan, Making Our Watershed Fit for a King; seconded by Deputy Mayor Mahoney.
 Motion passed 6-0.

Item 2: INTRODUCTION OF ITEMS FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION
10 Minutes

Councilmember Harris asked that discussion on placing past and future recorded Committee meetings on the City's website be placed on a future agenda in July for further discussion. Support was given from Councilmember Martinelli and Deputy Mayor Mahoney.

BOARD & COMMITTEE REPORTS/COUNCILMEMBER COMMENTS – (4 minutes per Councilmember) - 30 minutes

Councilmember Harris

- Redondo and Public Safety

Councilmember Buxton

- Human Services Advisory Meeting
- Organic Blend Ribbon Cutting
- Running of the Flags
- Park Run
- Happy Birthday Des Moines

Councilmember Martinelli

- Staff Reports
- Flag at the Police Department
- Des Moines Farmers Market
- Committee Meeting Recordings
- Ban of Facial Recognition Software

Councilmember Nutting

- Des Moines Farmers Market
- Des Moines Bulk Head
- Happy 13th Birthday Lilah

Deputy Mayor Mahoney

- Des Moines Farmers Market
- Gateway Executive Meeting
- South County Transportation Board - SCATBd Meeting
- Meeting with Secretary of State Kim Wyman
- Organic Blend Ribbon Cutting
- Downtown Clean-Up
- Woodmont Corner

PRESIDING OFFICER'S REPORT

- Des Moines Arts Commission Update
- Letter Sent to Port of Seattle regarding Proposed Parking Lot in North SeaTac Park
- Misleading Information on Social Media or Emails

NEXT MEETING DATE

July 08, 2021 City Council Regular Meeting

ADJOURNMENT

Direction/Action

Motion made by Councilmember Nutting to adjourn; seconded by Deputy Mayor Mahoney.

The motion passed 6-0.

The meeting adjourned at 7:02 p.m.

Minutes Approved at the _____ Council Meeting.

MINUTES

DES MOINES CITY COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING City Council Chambers VIA ZOOM July 08, 2021 – 5:00 p.m.

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Pina called the meeting to order at 5:01 p.m.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The flag salute was led by Councilmember Nutting.

ROLL CALL

Council present: Mayor Matt Pina

Deputy Mayor Matt Mahoney; Councilmembers Luisa Bangs, Traci Buxton, JC Harris, and Jeremy Nutting attended the meeting via Zoom.

Councilmember Anthony Martinelli was absent

Direction/Action

Motion made by Deputy Mayor Mahoney to excuse Councilmember Martinelli; seconded by Councilmember Buxton.
Motion passed 6-0.

Staff present: Assistant Police Chief Mark Couey; and City Clerk/Communications Director Bonnie Wilkins

City Manager Michael Matthias; City Attorney Tim George; Assistant City Attorney Matt Hutchins; Chief Operations Officer Dan Brewer; Chief Strategic Officer Susan Cezar; Human Resource Director Adrienne Johnson-Newton; Finance Director Beth Anne Wroe, Deputy Finance Director Jeff Friend; Harbormaster Scott Wilkins; Assistant Harbormaster Katy Bevegni; Planning & Development Service Manager Denise Lathrop; Economic Relief & Resource Coordinator / Land Use Planner II Eric Lane; Assistant Director of Parks, Recreation and Senior Services Nicole Nordholm; Associate Events and Facilities Manager Ashley Young; Emergency Preparedness Manager Shannon Kirchberg and Deputy City Clerk Taria Keane attended the meeting via Zoom.

CORRESPONDENCE

- There were no correspondence

COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC via Written Comment

- Bill and Annette Dwyer, Woodmont Driving Safety
- Steven Plaza, Memorial Park
- Betsy Sproger, Dead Man's Curve
- Rebecca Stapleton, Please make City Council meetings available anytime

COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC via Zoom

- Phyllis Crawford, Destruction of Personal Property
- Dan Wahlstrom, Marine View Drive South and Woodmont Drive South

CITY MANAGER REPORT

- City Manager Matthias gave Council a brief update on the 4th of July
- City Manager Matthias gave an update on the Cooling Centers

CONSENT CALENDAR

Item 1:

DES MOINES NORMANDY PARK INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR SENIOR SERVICES 2021-2022

Motion is to approve the Interlocal Agreement with the City of Normandy Park for the provision of Senior Services in fiscal years 2021 and 2022 whereby Normandy Park will pay an amount equal to Normandy Park's pro-rata share of costs per year for services based on participation levels, and authorize the City Manager to sign the Agreement substantially in the form as submitted.

Direction/Action

Motion made by Councilmember Nutting to approve the Consent Calendar; seconded by Deputy Mayor Mahoney.
Motion passed 6-0.

NEW BUSINESS

Item 1:

DRAFT ORDINANCE NO. 21-024; PROHIBITING ATTENDANCE AT UNLAWFUL RACING EVENTS

Staff Presentation: Assistant City Attorney Matthew Hutchins

Assistant City Attorney Hutchins gave Council a PowerPoint on Draft Ordinance 21-024, Unlawful Race Attendance.

Direction/Action

Motion 1 made by Councilmember Nutting to suspend Rule 26(a) in order to enact Draft Ordinance No. 21-024 on first reading; seconded by Councilmember Buxton.
Motion passed 5-1.

For: Mayor Pina; Deputy Mayor Mahoney; Councilmembers Bangs, Buxton, and Nutting.

Against: Councilmember Harris

Motion 2 made by Councilmember Nutting to enact Draft Ordinance No. 21-024, adding a new chapter to Title 9 DMMC and creating a new offense, Unlawful Race Attendance; seconded by Councilmember Bangs.

Amended Motion made by Mayor Pina to amend section 2 subsection section 3 of Draft Ordinance 21-024 to replace the words class 2 civil infraction at a \$256 fine to class 1 civil infraction which increases the first time offense fine to \$513; seconded by Councilmember Harris.
Motion passed 6-0.

Motion 2 passed as amended 6-0.

Item 2: INTRODUCTION OF ITEMS FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION 10 Minutes

- There were no Items for Future Consideration

BOARD & COMMITTEE REPORTS/COUNCILMEMBER COMMENTS – (4 minutes per Councilmember) - 30 minutes

Councilmember Nutting

- Economic Development Committee Meeting
- Cooling Centers

Councilmember Harris

- Rule 26(a)
- House Bill 1220 Presentation
- Burien Climate Action Plan
- Spoke with Director of Health Point
- 4th of July

Councilmember Buxton

- Downtown Clean-Up
- Des Moines Farmers Market

Councilmember Bangs

- Senior Services Advisory Committee Meeting
- Downtown Clean-Up

Deputy Mayor Mahoney

- Downtown Clean-Up
- Triangle Park
- Sea Pup Patrol Event
- Virtual Waterland Car Parade

PRESIDING OFFICER'S REPORT

- Gratitude towards the City and the Staff for working through the pandemic

NEXT MEETING DATE

July 22, 2021 City Council Regular Meeting

ADJOURNMENT

Direction/Action

Motion made by Councilmember Bangs to adjourn; seconded by Councilmember Nutting.

The motion passed 6-0.

The meeting adjourned at 6:23 p.m.

Minutes Approved at the _____ Council Meeting.

MINUTES

DES MOINES CITY COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING City Council Chambers VIA ZOOM July 22, 2021 – 5:00 p.m.

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Pina called the meeting to order at 5:01 p.m.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The flag salute was led by Councilmember Buxton.

ROLL CALL

Council present: Mayor Matt Pina

Deputy Mayor Matt Mahoney; Councilmembers Luisa Bangs, Traci Buxton, JC Harris, Anthony Martinelli and Jeremy Nutting attended the meeting via Zoom.

Staff present: Police Chief Ken Thomas; and City Clerk/Communications Director Bonnie Wilkins

City Manager Michael Matthias; City Attorney Tim George; Chief Operations Officer Dan Brewer; Chief Strategic Officer Susan Cezar; Human Resource Director Adrienne Johnson-Newton; Assistant Police Chief Mark Couey; Finance Director Beth Anne Wroe, Harbormaster Scott Wilkins; Assistant Harbormaster Katy Bevegni; Public Works Director Brandon Carver; Transportation & Engineering Services Manager Andrew Merges; Economic Relief & Resource Coordinator / Land Use Planner II Eric Lane; Assistant Director of Parks, Recreation and Senior Services Nicole Nordholm; Associate Events and Facilities Manager Ashley Young; Emergency Preparedness Manager Shannon Kirchberg and Deputy City Clerk Taria Keane attended the meeting via Zoom.

CORRESPONDENCE

- There were no correspondence

COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC via Written Comment

- Azeb Hagos, Kid and family programming needs
- Maggie Burke, resuming duplicate bridge at the senior center
- Liz Burn, Federal Stimulus Funds
- Will Dimmitt, Recommendations for Federal Stimulus
- Lora Heister, To participate in forums
- Stephen Heller, Community Center
- Carter Hoffmann, Duplicate Bridge Game at Des Moines Senior Center
- Brandie Homes, Federal Stimulus – Practical Application
- Yvonne Kirkland, Friday Bridge at Des Moines community center
- Toni Ann Mills, We do not need more enforcement
- Sally Odegaard, condo at Heritage Court
- Brian Reagan, duplicate bridge games
- Beverly Reich, Reopen of Senior Center
- Lisa Shallit, Des Moines Duplicate Bridge Club

- Betsy Sproger, Hiring more police officers
- Franklin Tiangsing, Bridge game at the Senior Center

COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC via Zoom

- Del Rivero, State baseball tournament
- Bill Willette, Redondo Parking Lot
- Lora Heister, To participate in forums

CITY MANAGER REPORT

- City Manager Matthias commented on the Senior Center Bridge Club
- Assistant Director of Parks, Recreation and Senior Services Nordholm gave Council an update on Recreation Programs
- Chief Operations Officer Brewer gave Council an update on the Marina Dock Replacement – Consent Calendar Item #4
- City Manager Matthias gave Council background information on Consent Calendar Item #2
- City Manager Matthias gave Council a brief update on the Excessive Heat Wave and the City's response.
- City Manager Matthias gave Council a presentation on the ARPA Funds

CONSENT CALENDAR

- Item 1: POLICE BODY WORN CAMERA PROGRAM FUNDING
Motion 1 is to approve an agreement with WatchGuard for the purchase of equipment and software necessary for the Police Body Worn Camera program, and authorize the City Manager to sign the agreement substantially in the form as attached.
- Motion 2** is to direct Administration to include sufficient funds for the purchase and maintenance of equipment and software for the Police Body Worn Camera program in the City budget for a period of 5 years.
- Item 2: CONTRACT AMENDMENT AND EXTENSION WITH COACHING ACTION
Motion is to approve Amendment No. 2 with Coaching Action to continue to provide for leadership and communication for City staff through December of 2022, and authorize the City Manager to sign the amendment substantially in the form as attached.
- Item 3: HUMAN SERVICES ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS
Motion is to confirm the Mayoral appointment of Corrine Anderson-Ketchmark and Mary Guiberson to the City of Des Moines Human Services Advisory Committee effective immediately and expiring on December 31, 2022.

ITEM 4: DES MOINES MARINA REPLACEMENT OF M AND N DOCKS – CONSULTANT CONTRACT

Motion is to approve the Consultant Contract with Moffett and Nichols for the replacement of M and N docks in the amount of \$597,804, authorize a design contingency in the amount of \$60,000 and further authorize the City Manager to sign said agreement in the form as submitted.

ITEM 5: DRAFT RESOLUTION NO. 21-033 SETTING A DATE FOR PUBLIC HEARING FOR VACATION OF PUBLIC RIGHTS-OF-WAY FOR SOUTH 219TH STREET, SOUTH 220TH STREET, SOUTH 221ST STREET, SOUTH 224TH STREET AND 30TH AVENUE SOUTH TO SOUND TRANSIT FOR THE FEDERAL WAY LINK EXTENSION

Motion is to adopt Draft Resolution No. 21-033 setting a public hearing on September 2, 2021 or soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, for street vacations of South 219th Street, South 220th Street, South 221st Street, South 224th Street, and 30th Avenue South within the City of Des Moines to Sound Transit for the Federal Way Link Extension.

ITEM 6: APPROVAL OF VOUCHERS

Motion is to approve for payment vouchers and payroll transfers through July 2, 2021 in the attached list and further described as follows:

Total A/P Checks/Vouchers	#162643-162764	\$	647,933.88
Electronic Wire Transfers #	1733-1745	\$	623,124.95
Payroll Checks	# 19409-19409	\$	994.76
Payroll Direct Deposit	#240001-240147	\$	383,020.78
Payroll Checks	# 19410-19411	\$	5,949.05
Payroll Direct Deposit	#260001-260153	\$	<u>418,333.07</u>

Total Checks and Wires for A/P and Payroll: \$2,079,356.49

ITEM 7: ARTS COMMISSION APPOINTMENT

Motion is to confirm the Mayoral appointment of Meiling Sproger to a 3 year term on the City of Des Moines Arts Commission effective immediately and expiring on December 31, 2023.

Direction/Action

Motion made by Councilmember Nutting to approve the Consent Calendar; seconded by Councilmember Bangs

Councilmember Harris pulled Item #1.

The remainder of the Consent Calendar passed 7-0.

Council discussed Item #1.

Motion 1 made by Councilmember Nutting to approve Consent Calendar Item #1 Motion 1 as presented; seconded by Councilmember Bangs. Motion passed 6-1.

For: Mayor Pina; Deputy Mayor Mahoney; Councilmembers Bangs, Buxton, Martinelli, and Nutting.

Against: Councilmember Harris.

Motion 2 made by Councilmember Nutting to approve Consent Calendar Item #1 Motion 2 as presented; seconded by Councilmember Bangs.
Motion passed 6-1.

For: Mayor Pina; Deputy Mayor Mahoney; Councilmembers Bangs, Buxton, Martinelli, and Nutting.

Against: Councilmember Harris.

NEW BUSINESS

ITEM 1: RECORDING OF COMMITTEE MEETINGS
Staff Presentation: City Manager Michael Matthias

City Manager Matthias informed Council that prior Committee Meetings that have been recorded will be put online.

ITEM 2: INTRODUCTION OF ITEMS FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION
10 Minutes

Deputy Mayor Mahoney made a motion to send a letter to State and Federal Legislators regarding loud mufflers; seconded by Mayor Pina and Councilmember Buxton.

BOARD & COMMITTEE REPORTS/COUNCILMEMBER COMMENTS – (4 minutes per Councilmember) - 30 minutes

Councilmember Buxton

- Welcomed Volunteers
- Des Moines Farmers Market
- Building a Foundation for Racial Equity Meeting

Councilmember Martinelli

- Commented on Consent Calendar Item #1
- Stimulus Funding
- Welcomed Volunteers

Councilmember Bangs

- Police Department Advisory Committee Meeting

Councilmember Nutting

- Welcomed Volunteers
- National Night Out
- Summer Concert in the Park
- Movie in the Park

Councilmember Harris

- Commented on Consent Calendar Item #1
- Cooling Centers
- Redondo Candidate Forum
- Bridge Club at the Senior Center
- Environment Committee Meeting
- Transportation Committee Meeting

Deputy Mayor Mahoney

- Little League Tournament at Steven J Underwood Park
- SR3 - Sea Pup Patrol
- SCATBd Meeting
- Highline Forum

PRESIDING OFFICER'S REPORT

- SR3 - Sea Pup Patrol
- Des Moines Farmers Market
- Environment Committee Meeting

NEXT MEETING DATE

August 05, 2021 City Council Study Session

ADJOURNMENT

Direction/Action

Motion made by Councilmember Nutting to adjourn; seconded by Deputy Mayor Mahoney.

The motion passed 7-0.

The meeting adjourned at 7:03 p.m.

Minutes Approved at the _____ Council Meeting.

AGENDA ITEM

BUSINESS OF THE CITY COUNCIL City of Des Moines, WA

SUBJECT: Confirmation of City Manager’s
Re-appointment of Lisa Leone to the Municipal
Court Judge Position

ATTACHMENTS: none

FOR AGENDA OF: September 16, 2021

DEPT. OF ORIGIN: Legal

DATE SUBMITTED: September 7, 2021

CLEARANCES:

- Community Development
- Marina
- Parks, Recreation & Senior Services
- Public Works

CHIEF OPERATIONS OFFICER: _____

- Legal /s/ TG
- Finance
- Courts
- Police

APPROVED BY CITY MANAGER
FOR SUBMITTAL: 

Purpose and Recommendation

The purpose of this agenda item is to seek City Council confirmation of the City Manager’s re-appointment of Lisa Leone to the Municipal Court Judge position.

Suggested Motion

Motion: “I move to confirm the City Manager’s re-appointment of Lisa Leone to the Municipal Court Judge position effective January 1, 2022 through December 31, 2025.”

Background

Judge Leone was appointed by the City Council to fill former Judge Alicea-Galvan's unexpired term from May 11, 2015 through December 31, 2017. She was re-appointed in 2017 for another four year term through December 31, 2021.

Discussion

Judge Leone is capable and willing to accept the 4-year re-appointment, with an expiration date of December 31, 2025. Judge Leone will continue to have all of the duties, responsibilities, and authorities of Municipal Court Judge. The City is fortunate to have a municipal judge the caliber of Judge Leone. The Judge has instituted numerous programs to enhance community based justice; including DUI Court and brilliant adaptation to the challenges of COVID by literally creating a virtual court.

Financial Impact

None. Funds are budgeted for this position.

Recommendation

The City manager recommends confirmation of this appointment.

A G E N D A I T E M

BUSINESS OF THE CITY COUNCIL City of Des Moines, WA

SUBJECT: Second Reading of Draft Ordinance No. 21-035 related to the Zoning Code and regulation of emergency housing, shelters, permanent supportive housing and transitional housing.

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Draft Ordinance No. 21-035

FOR AGENDA OF: September 16, 2021

DEPT. OF ORIGIN: Community Development

DATE SUBMITTED: September 8, 2021

CLEARANCES:

- Community Development *Susan M. Cey*
 Marina _____
 Parks, Recreation & Senior Services _____
 Public Works _____

CHIEF OPERATIONS OFFICER: _____

- Legal /s/ TG
 Finance _____
 Courts _____
 Police _____

APPROVED BY CITY MANAGER

FOR SUBMITTAL: *[Signature]*

Purpose and Recommendation

The purpose of this agenda item is for City Council to consider adoption of Draft Ordinance No. 21-035 (Attachment 1) that would amend chapters 18.01, 18.52, and 18.210 and adding and codifying a new chapter entitled “Supportive Housing Standards” to the Des Moines Municipal Code (DMMC) to comply with the Washington State Legislature’s passage of Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill 1220 (ESSHB 1220).

Suggested Motion

Motion 1: “I move to adopt Draft Ordinance No. 21-035 amending chapters 18.01, 18.52, and 18.210 DMMC and adding and codifying a new chapter entitled “Supportive Housing Standards” to the Des Moines Municipal Code”

Background

The passing of ESSHB 1220 resulted in a number of changes to how cities implement some of their local housing policies and development regulations. Starting July 25, 2021, “a city shall not prohibit transitional housing or permanent supportive housing in any zones in which residential dwelling units or hotels are allowed.”

Additionally, by September 30, 2021 cities must allow indoor emergency shelters and indoor emergency housing in any zones in which hotels are allowed. An exception is provided for cities that have adopted an ordinance authorizing indoor emergency shelters and housing in most zones within one mile of transit. There is also language that allows reasonable occupancy, spacing, and intensity of use requirements for these uses.

Local zoning authority is pre-empted by the state legislation, and all jurisdictions in the state are required to comply. Many are also in the process of evaluating zoning regulations for compliance and adopting similar code amendments. These requirements are effective whether or not local code amendments are adopted and these amendments will provide for reasonable occupancy, spacing and intensity of use requirements specific to Des Moines as allowed under the legislation.

The Revised Code of Washington (RCW) and Washington Administrative Code (WAC) provide definitions for the housing types as listed below:

"Emergency housing" means temporary indoor accommodations for individuals or families who are homeless or at imminent risk of becoming homeless that is intended to address the basic health, food, clothing, and personal hygiene needs of individuals or families. Emergency housing may or may not require occupants to enter into a lease or an occupancy agreement.

"Emergency shelter" means a facility that provides a temporary shelter for individuals or families who are currently homeless. Emergency shelter may not require occupants to enter into a lease or an occupancy agreement. Emergency shelter facilities may include day and warming centers that do not provide overnight accommodations.

"Moderate-income household" means a single person, family, or unrelated persons living together whose adjusted income is at or below 120 percent of the median household income adjusted for household size, for the county where the household is located, as reported by the United States department of housing and urban development.

“Permanent Supportive Housing” means subsidized, leased housing with no limit on length of stay that prioritizes people who need comprehensive support services to retain tenancy and utilizes admissions practices designed to use lower barriers to entry than would be typical for other subsidized or unsubsidized rental housing, especially related to rental history, criminal history, and personal behaviors. Permanent supportive housing is paired with on-site or off-site voluntary services designed to support a person living with a complex and disabling behavioral health or physical health condition who was experiencing homelessness or was at imminent risk of homelessness prior to moving into housing to retain their housing and be a successful tenant in a housing arrangement, improve the resident's health status, and connect the resident of the housing with community-based health care, treatment, or employment services. Permanent supportive housing is subject to all of the rights and responsibilities defined in chapter 59.18 RCW.

“Transitional Housing” means a facility that provides housing and supportive services to homeless individuals or families for up to two years and whose primary purpose is to enable homeless individuals or families to move into independent living and permanent housing.

Discussion

Draft Ordinance No. 21-035 (Attachment 1) would amend chapters 18.01, 18.52, and 18.210 and add and codify a new chapter entitled “Supportive Housing Standards” to the Des Moines Municipal Code (DMMC) to comply with the Washington State Legislature passage of engrossed second substitute House Bill 1220 (ESSHB 1220). City Council may adopt the ordinance on second reading on September 16, 2021, or soon thereafter, but prior to September 30, 2021 when the new law becomes fully effective. Failure to adopt these code changes will limit the City’s ability to control the location, design and siting standards related to these housing types.

Section 1 adds definitions in chapter 18.01 Definitions related to emergency housing, emergency shelter, permanent supportive housing and transitional housing.

Sections 2 and 3 amend DMMC 18.52.010A Residential Use Chart and DMMC 18.52.010B Commercial Use Chart to add supportive housing as Conditional Uses subject to approval by the Hearing Examiner. Section 2 also removes hotels as a permitted use in the RM 900 Zone.

Sections 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 are new sections that address Supportive Housing Standards. The purpose of these sections is to establish standards for the operation of supportive housing facilities within the City.

Section 9 amends chapter 18.210 Loading Areas and Off-street Parking code to add parking requirements related to emergency housing, emergency shelters, permanent supportive housing, and transitional housing.

Draft Ordinance No. 21-035 reflects input from the Council Economic Development Committee who discussed the matter at their July 8th and July 29th meetings. A notice of intent to adopt and a request for expedited review was sent to the Washington State Department of Commerce (Commerce) on August 13, 2021 and expedited review was granted. Therefore the Department of Commerce review is complete. A State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) Determination of Nonsignificance and public hearing notice was issued on August 13, 2021 and the 15-day public comment and appeal periods have lapsed.

The City Council held a first reading and concluded the public hearing on September 2, 2021. No testimony was received.

Alternatives

City Council may:

Adopt Draft Ordinance No. 21-035.

Decline to adopted Draft Ordinance No. 21-035 or pass the ordinance to a third reading (not recommended). These options would allow the state law to become fully effective without appropriate standards in place.

Financial Impact

The City will charge a fee to cover the cost for processing permit applications received; however, other costs are unknown at this time.

Recommendation

Staff recommends that the City Council adopt Draft Ordinance No. 21-035 on September, 16, 2021.

Ordinance No. _____
 Page 1 of 14

CITY COUNCIL DRAFT - SECOND READING 9/2/2021

DRAFT ORDINANCE NO. 21-035

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF DES MOINES, WASHINGTON relating to zoning and the regulation of emergency housing, emergency shelter and permanent supportive housing, amending chapters 18.01, 18.52 and 18.210 and adding and codifying a new chapter entitled "*Supportive Housing Standards*" to the Des Moines Municipal Code.

WHEREAS, in 2021, the Washington State Legislature passed Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill 1220 (ESSHB 1220), as codified in chapters 35.21, 35A.21 and 36.70A RCW, which requires cities like Des Moines to allow development of permanent supportive housing and transitional housing in all land use districts where residential dwellings and/or hotels are allowed, and

WHEREAS, ESSHB 1220 also requires cities to allow emergency housing and emergency shelter in all zoning districts where hotels are allowed, and

WHEREAS, ESSHB 1220 became effective on July 25, 2021 and requires cities to regulate permanent supportive housing and transitional housing consistent with ESSHB 1220 on that date, and

WHEREAS, cities are required to regulate emergency housing and emergency shelter consistent with ESSHB 1220 by September 30, 2021, and

WHEREAS, the City of Des Moines Zoning Code currently does not define or regulate permanent supportive housing, transitional housing, emergency housing or emergency shelter as distinct land uses, and

WHEREAS, Comprehensive Plan Policy HOU 1.1 and 1.2 directs the City to provide, through land use regulation, an

08/13/2021
 Draft Ordinance No. 21-035

Ordinance No. _____
Page 2 of 14

appropriate mix of housing types to accommodate Des Moines' projected share of population growth, and

WHEREAS, Comprehensive Plan Policy HOU 1.2 directs the City to assist regional and multi-jurisdictional efforts to address the region's need for low and moderate income housing, and special-needs housing, and

WHEREAS, the Comprehensive Plan Housing Element states that 15.2 percent of Des Moines' population lives at or below the poverty level (very-low income), and

WHEREAS, Comprehensive Plan Implementation Strategies HOU 1.2.1-1.2.3 direct the City to work cooperatively with other King County cities/agencies to address regional housing issues and encourage affordable housing in all neighborhoods throughout the city, particularly in proximity to transit, employment and/or educational opportunities, and

WHEREAS, the City Council Economic Development Committee directed City staff to prepare an ordinance addressing ESSHB 1220 for City Council consideration, and

WHEREAS, the changes proposed by this ordinance have been processed in accordance with the requirements of the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), a final determination of non-significance was issued by the responsible official, and the appropriate comment and appeal periods have expired, and

WHEREAS, the textual code amendments proposed in this Draft Ordinance were provided to the Department of Commerce as required by RCW 36.70A.106, and

WHEREAS, notice of the public hearing was provided on August 13, 2021 in accordance with the DMMC, and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on September 2, 2021 where all persons wishing to be heard were heard, and

08/13/2021
Draft Ordinance No. 21-035

Ordinance No. _____
Page 3 of 14

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the Title 18 DMMC amendments contained in this Ordinance comply with the requirements of chapter 36.70A RCW and are appropriate and necessary; now therefore,

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF DES MOINES ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

Sec. 1. DMMC 18.01.050, *Definitions*, and section 5 of Ordinance No. 1591, as amended by section 1 of Ordinance No. 1628, as amended by section 1 of Ordinance No. 1655, as amended by section 3 of Ordinance No. 1661, as amended by section 3 of Ordinance No. 1669, as amended by section 15 of Ordinance No. 1671, as amended by section 1 of Ordinance No. 1697, as amended by section 3 of Ordinance 1714, as amended by section 2 of Ordinance 1719 shall be amended to add the following definitions:

"Emergency housing" means temporary indoor accommodations for individuals or families who are homeless or at imminent risk of becoming homeless that is intended to address the basic health, food, clothing, and personal hygiene needs of individuals or families. Emergency housing may or may not require occupants to enter into a lease or an occupancy agreement.

"Emergency shelter" means a facility that provides a temporary shelter for individuals or families who are currently homeless. Emergency shelter may not require occupants to enter into a lease or an occupancy agreement. Emergency shelter facilities may include day and warming centers that do not provide overnight accommodations.

"Moderate-income household" means a single person, family, or unrelated persons living together whose adjusted income is at or below 120 percent of the median household income adjusted for household size, for the county where the household is located, as

08/13/2021
Draft Ordinance No. 21-035

Ordinance No. _____
 Page 4 of 14

reported by the United States department of housing and urban development.

"Permanent Supportive Housing" means subsidized, leased housing with no limit on length of stay that prioritizes people who need comprehensive support services to retain tenancy and utilizes admissions practices designed to use lower barriers to entry than would be typical for other subsidized or unsubsidized rental housing, especially related to rental history, criminal history, and personal behaviors. Permanent supportive housing is paired with on-site or off-site voluntary services designed to support a person living with a complex and disabling behavioral health or physical health condition who was experiencing homelessness or was at imminent risk of homelessness prior to moving into housing to retain their housing and be a successful tenant in a housing arrangement, improve the resident's health status, and connect the resident of the housing with community-based health care, treatment, or employment services. Permanent supportive housing is subject to all of the rights and responsibilities defined in chapter 59.18 RCW.

"Transitional Housing" means a facility that provides housing and supportive services to homeless individuals or families for up to two years and whose primary purpose is to enable homeless individuals or families to move into independent living and permanent housing.

Sec. 2. DMMC 18.52.010A, and those parts of the Residential Use Chart and Limitations 19 and 51, and section 132 of Ordinance No. 1591 as amended by section 7 of Ordinance No. 1655 as amended by section 2 of Ordinance No.1697 as amended section 8 of Ordinance No. 1737, shall be amended to read as follows:

08/13/2021
 Draft Ordinance No. 21-035

Ordinance No. _____
 Page 5 of 14

Use is: P: Permitted	SFR	RA- 3600	RM- 2400	RM- 180 0	RM- 900	RM- 900A	RM- 900B	R-SE	R- SR> 3500 0	R- SR< 3500 0	PR-R
P/L: Permitted but with special limitations											
CUP: Conditiona l use review required											
UUP: Unclassifi ed use review required											

<u>Permanent Supportive Housing / Transition al Housing</u>	<u>CUP/L [51]</u>	<u>CUP/L [51]</u>	<u>CUP/L [51]</u>	<u>CUP/L [51]</u>	<u>CUP/L [51]</u>	<u>CUP/L [51]</u>	<u>CUP/L [51]</u>	<u>CUP/L [51]</u>	<u>CUP/L [51]</u>	<u>CUP/L [51]</u>	<u>CUP/L [51]</u>
---	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

<u>Hotels</u>					<u>P/L [19]</u>						
---------------	--	--	--	--	---------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

~~19. Hotels. This regulation applies to all parts of Table 18.52.010A that have a [19].~~

~~Hotels shall be permitted; provided:~~

~~(a) Restaurants, cocktail lounges, and specialty shops are permitted accessory uses; provided, the floor area devoted to such uses shall not exceed 20 percent of the total floor area and entry to such uses shall be from within the main building;~~

08/13/2021
 Draft Ordinance No. 21-035

Ordinance No. _____
 Page 6 of 14

~~(b) All buildings and structures shall maintain a distance of not less than 20 feet from any lot in a Residential Zone; and~~

~~(c) A solid wall or view-obscuring fence or hedge not less than five feet nor more than six feet in height shall be erected and maintained on any exterior boundary line which is a common property line with residential property when such residential property is used only for residential purposes, except that on any portion of such common property line constituting the depth of the required front yard on the residential property such fence, wall, or hedge shall not be less than 36 inches nor more than 42 inches in height.~~

51. Supportive Housing. This regulation applies to all parts of Table 18.52.010A that have a [51]. Permanent supportive housing and transitional housing may locate in the City subject to the requirements of chapter 18.140, section 8 of this Ordinance and other related provisions of this Title.

Sec. 3. DMMC 18.52.010B, and those parts of the Commercial Use Chart and Limitations 91 and 92, and section 133 of Ordinance No. 1591 as amended by section 12 of Ordinance No. 1601 as amended by section 8 of Ordinance 1618-A as amended by section 2 of Ordinance No. 1644 as amended by section 1 of Ordinance No. 1645 as amended by section 8 of Ordinance 1655 as amended by section 4 of Ordinance No 1656 as amended by section 2 of Ordinance No. 1661 as amended by section 2 of Ordinance 1669 as amended by section 1 of Ordinance No. 1672 as amended by section 3 of Ordinance No. 1697 as amended by section 1 of Ord. 1701 as amended by section 2 of Ordinance 1714 as amended by section 1 of Ordinance 1719 as amended by section 9 of Ordinance 1737 shall be amended to read as follows:

Use is:	N-C	I-C	B-P	C-C	D-C	H-C	PR-C	T-C	W-C	N-C	I-C
P: Permitted											
P/L: Permitted but with special											

08/13/2021
 Draft Ordinance No. 21-035

Ordinance No. _____
 Page 7 of 14

limitations											
CUP: Conditional use review required											
UUP: Unclassified use review required											
<u>Emergency Housing / Emergency Shelter</u>	<u>CUP/ L [91]</u>	<u>CUP/ L [91]</u>		<u>CUP/ L [91]</u>	<u>CUP/ L [91]</u>	<u>CUP/L [91]</u>	<u>CUP/ L [91]</u>	<u>CUP/ L [91]</u>	<u>CUP/ L [91]</u>	<u>CUP/ L [91]</u>	<u>CUP/ L [91]</u>
<u>Permanent Supportive Housing / Transition al Housing</u>	<u>CUP/L [92]</u>	<u>CUP/L [92]</u>		<u>CUP/L [92]</u>	<u>CUP/L [92]</u>	<u>CUP/L [92]</u>	<u>CUP/L [92]</u>	<u>CUP/L [92]</u>	<u>CUP/L [92]</u>	<u>CUP/L [92]</u>	<u>CUP/L [92]</u>

91. Emergency Housing and Emergency Shelter. This regulation applies to all parts of Table 18.52.010B that have a [91].

Emergency Housing and Emergency Shelter shall subject to the requirements of chapter 18.140, section 8 of this Ordinance and other related provisions of this Title.

92. Permanent Supportive Housing. This regulation applies to all parts of Table 18.52.010B that have a [92].

Permanent supportive housing shall be subject to the requirements of chapter 18.140, section 8 of this Ordinance and other related provisions of this Title.

(a) The Hearing Examiner may approve equal square footage of common recreation space in lieu of private recreation space requirements.

08/13/2021
 Draft Ordinance No. 21-035

Ordinance No. _____
Page 8 of 14

NEW SECTION Sec. 4. Title 18. ———A new chapter shall be created in Title 18 entitled "Supportive Housing Standards." The new chapter will consist of Sections 5-8 of this Ordinance.

NEW SECTION Sec. 5. Application.

This chapter shall apply to all emergency housing, emergency shelters, permanent supportive housing, and transitional housing in buildings or other permanent structures.

NEW SECTION Sec. 6. Purpose.

The purpose of this section is to establish standards for the operation of supportive housing facilities within the City. For the purpose of this section, "supportive housing facilities" includes only emergency housing, emergency shelters, permanent supportive housing, and transitional housing in buildings or other permanent structures. These regulations are intended to protect public health and safety by requiring safe operations of supportive housing facilities for both the residents of such facilities and the broader community. This section does not include regulations for homeless encampments regulated by DMMC 18.170.080 and essential public facilities regulated by chapter 18.255 DMMC.

NEW SECTION Sec. 7. Authority. This chapter is established to regulate the siting of emergency housing, emergency shelters, permanent supportive housing, and transitional housing.

NEW SECTION Sec. 8. Performance Standards.

(a)General Requirements for all Supportive Housing Facilities.

08/13/2021
Draft Ordinance No. 21-035

Ordinance No. _____
 Page 9 of 14

(i) When a site includes more than one supportive housing facility, the more restrictive requirements of this section shall apply.

(ii) Specific needs of each facility shall be reviewed pursuant to the conditional use permit process in chapter 18.140 DMMC.

(iii) All supportive housing facilities shall comply with the Des Moines Municipal Code, including but not limited to Title 14 Buildings and Construction and Title 18 Zoning.

(iv) Facilities shall meet the following locational criteria:

(A) Facilities shall be located within one quarter mile from a transit stop.

(B) Facilities shall be located at least one-half mile from another supportive housing facility.

(C) Facilities shall be located at least 1,000 feet from an elementary, middle or high school, unless permitted as a family or youth shelter. For the purposes of this subsection, distance shall be measured in a straight line between the closest property line of the existing facility or school and the closest property line of the proposed facility.

(v) Facilities shall match the bulk and scale of residential uses allowed in the zone where the facility is located. The design, construction, appearance, physical integrity, and maintenance of the facility shall provide an environment that is attractive, sustainable, functional, appropriate for the surrounding community, and conducive to tenants' stability.

(vi) Project design shall enhance personal safety and security through implementation of CPTED (Crime Prevention through Environmental Design) Principals.

08/13/2021
 Draft Ordinance No. 21-035

Ordinance No. _____
Page 10 of 14

(vii) The sponsor and/or managing agency shall ensure compliance with Washington State laws and regulations, the DMMC, and King County health department regulations. The sponsor and/or managing agency shall permit inspections by local agencies and/or departments to ensure such compliance and shall implement all directives resulting therefrom within the specified time period.

(viii) The required number of off-street parking spaces for each facility shall meet the requirements of chapter 18.210 DMMC.

(ix) An operations plan must be provided at the time of application by the sponsor and/or managing agency that addresses the following elements to the satisfaction of the City:

(A) Name and contact information for key staff;

(B) Roles and responsibilities of key staff;

(C) Site/facility management, including a security and emergency plan;

(D) Site/facility maintenance;

(E) Occupancy policies, including resident responsibilities and a code of conduct that address, at a minimum, the prohibition on the use or sale of alcohol and illegal drugs, threatening or unsafe behavior, and weapon possession.

(F) Provision of human and social services, including staffing plan and outcome measures;

(G) Outreach plan with surrounding property owners and residents and ongoing good neighbor policy; and

08/13/2021
Draft Ordinance No. 21-035

Ordinance No. _____
Page 11 of 14

(H) Procedures for maintaining accurate and complete records.

(I) A description of provisions for transit, pedestrian, and bicycle access from the subject site to services shall be provided at time of application by the sponsor and/or managing agency.

(b) Specific requirements for Emergency Housing and Emergency Shelters, in addition to the requirements of subsection (a) of this section.

(i) Facilities shall meet the following capacity and density requirements:

(A) Emergency Housing shall be limited to no more than 20 units, or 20 residents in a facility without separate units, in the D-C, C-C, H-C and I-C Zones. Facilities within the PR-R, PR-C, T-C and W-C Zones shall be permitted at the zoned density.

(B) Emergency Shelters shall limit capacity to no more than one adult bed per 40 square feet of floor area per facility with up to 20 residents permitted in the D-C, C-C, H-C and I-C Zones and up to 80 residents in the PR-R, PR-C, T-C and W-C Zones.

(ii) Residents shall have access to the following services on-site; if not provided on-site, transportation shall be provided:

(A) For all facilities, medical services, including mental and behavioral health counseling.

(B) For emergency housing facilities, access to resources on obtaining permanent housing and access to employment and education assistance.

(C) For emergency shelter facilities, substance abuse assistance.

08/13/2021
Draft Ordinance No. 21-035

Ordinance No. _____
Page 12 of 14

(c) Specific requirements for Permanent Supportive Housing and Transitional Housing in addition to the requirements of subsection (a) of this section.

(i) Facilities shall meet the following capacity and density requirements:

(A) A maximum of 8 residents shall be permitted the Single Family Zones (RS-15,000, RS-9,600, RS-8,400, RS-7,200, and RS-4,000) and R-SE Zone, and facilities are subject to the development standards of the zone that the facility is located.

(B) A maximum of 40 units shall be permitted in the Multifamily Residential Zones (RA-3,600, RM-2,400, RM-1,800, RM-900, RM-900A and RM-900B) and in the C-C, D-C, H-C, I-C, and N-C Zones.

(C) Facilities located in the PR-R, PR-C, T-C and W-C Zones shall comply with the density requirements of the underlying zone.

(ii) Facilities shall be required to meet the design requirements of chapter 18.235 DMMC.

(a) On-site recreation area shall be provided as specified in chapter 18.155 DMMC. The Hearing Examiner may approve equal square footage of common recreation space in lieu of private recreation space requirements.

(iii) All residents shall have access to appropriate cooking and hygiene facilities.

(iv) Facilities serving more than five dwelling units shall have dedicated spaces for residents to meet with service providers.

(v) Residents shall have access to the following services on-site; if not provided on-site, transportation shall be provided:

08/13/2021
Draft Ordinance No. 21-035

Ordinance No. _____
Page 13 of 14

(A) Medical services, including mental and behavioral health counseling.

(B) Employment and education assistance.

Sec. 9. DMMC 18.210.090(15) and section 513 of Ordinance No. 1591, as amended by Section 16 of Ordinance No 1601, as amended by section 12 of Ordinance No 1618-A, as amended by section 19 of Ordinance No 1655 as amended by section 5 of Ordinance No. 1656 shall be amended to add the following:

(15)

...

(i) Emergency Housing and Emergency Shelter. One parking space for each two employees plus one space for each four beds.

(j) Permanent Supportive Housing and Temporary Housing. One parking space for each two employees plus one parking space for each dwelling unit in all residential zones, and one-half parking space for each dwelling unit in the in the C-C, D-C, H-C, I-C, N-C, PR-C, PR-R, T-C and W-C Zones.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 10. Codification. Sections 4 through 8 of this ordinance shall be codified as a new chapter in Title 18 DMMC.

Sec. 11. Severability - Construction.

(1) If a section, subsection, paragraph, sentence, clause, or phrase of this Ordinance is declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance.

(2) If the provisions of this Ordinance are found to be inconsistent with other provisions of the Des Moines Municipal Code, this Ordinance is deemed to control.

08/13/2021
Draft Ordinance No. 21-035

Ordinance No. _____
Page 14 of 14

Sec. 12. Effective date. This Ordinance shall take effect and be in full force five (5) days after its final approval by the Des Moines City Council in accordance with law.

PASSED BY the City Council of the City of Des Moines this _____ day of _____ and signed in authentication thereof this _____ day of _____, 2021.

M A Y O R

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

City Attorney

ATTEST:

City Clerk

Published: _____

September 16, 2021
City Council Meeting

American Rescue Plan
Act (ARPA) Discussion

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Revenues - Federal/State rules governing ARPA
 - Lost Revenue
 - Program costs
 - Total for Des Moines (2 payments)
2. Expenditures
 - Administration recommendations
 - City Council requests
 - Community requests

INTRODUCTION

The City of Des Moines, Washington was awarded \$9,029,879 through the American Rescue Plan Act. Through this Act, Congress provided State, Local, and Tribal governments with significant resources to respond to the COVID-19 public health emergency and its economic impacts through four categories of eligible uses. They are:

- 1) Responding to public health emergency or its negative economic impacts, including assistance to households, small businesses, and nonprofits, or aid to impacted industries such as tourism, travel, and hospitality
 - a. Recipients are required to identify a need or negative impact of the COVID-19 public health emergency and identify how the program, service, or other intervention addresses the identified need of impact.
 - i. Eligible public health uses include (but are not limited to),
 1. COVID – 19 Mitigation and Prevention
 2. Medical Expenses
 3. Behavioral Care
 4. Public Health and Safety Staff
 5. Expenses to Improve the Design and Execution of Health and Public Health Programs
 - ii. Additionally, in recognition of the disproportionate impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on health outcomes in low-income and Native American communities and the importance of mitigating these effects, the Act identifies a broader range of services and programs that will be presumed to be responding to the public health emergency when provided in these communities.
 - b. Funds expended responding to the negative impact of COVID-19 on households and individuals, State, local, and Tribal governments, and the exacerbation of pre-existing disparities would include the following eligible uses:
 - i. Assistance to unemployed workers
 - ii. State Unemployment Insurance Trust Funds
 - iii. Assistance to Households
 - iv. Expenses to Improve Efficacy of Economic Relief Programs
 - v. Small Businesses and Non-Profits
 - vi. Rehiring State, Local, and Tribal Government Staff
 - vii. Aid to impacted industries
 - viii. Building Stronger Communities through Investments in Housing and Neighborhoods
 - ix. Addressing Educational Disparities
 - x. Promoting Healthy Childhood Environments
 - c. Uses outside the scope of this category that would be excluded include:
 - i. General infrastructure projects typically would not be included unless the project responded to a specific pandemic health related need
 - ii. Contributions to rainy day funds, financial services, or similar funds
 - iii. Debt service
 - iv. Judicial settlements

- 2) Responding to workers performing essential work during the COVID-19 public health emergency by providing premium pay to eligible workers
 - a. Payments may be used by recipients to provide premium pay to eligible workers performing essential work during the COVID-19 public health emergency or to provide grants to third-party employers with eligible workers performing essential work.
 - b. Such workers include:
 - i. Staff at nursing homes
 - ii. Workers at farms, food production facilities, grocery stores, and restaurants
 - iii. Janitors and sanitation workers
 - iv. Truck drivers, transit staff, and warehouse workers
 - v. Public health and safety staff
 - vi. Childcare workers, educators, and other school staff
 - vii. Social service and human services staff
- 3) Recipients may use payments for the provision of government services to the extent of the reduction in revenue due to the COVID-19 public health emergency relative to the revenues collected in the most recent full fiscal year prior to the emergency. A definition of “general revenue” is used for purposes of calculating a loss in revenue.
 - a. “General revenue” is defined to include revenues collected by a recipient and generated from its underlying economy and would capture a range of different types of tax revenues, as well as other types of revenue that are available to support government services.
 - i. This definition is based largely on the components reported under “General Revenue from Own Sources” in the Census Bureau’s Annual Survey of State and Local Government Finances.
 - ii. General revenue excludes:
 1. Refunds
 2. Correcting transactions
 3. Proceeds from the issuance of debt or the sale of investments
 4. Agency or private trust transactions
 - b. Revenue loss for the City of Des Moines was calculated to be \$4,869,473 for the fiscal year ended 12/31/2020
- 4) To assist in meeting the critical need for investments and improvements to existing infrastructure in water, sewer, and broadband.

The City may use funds provided under this award to cover both direct and indirect administrative costs.

The City also agrees to comply with any reporting obligations established by the Department of the Treasury as they relate to this award.

The period of performance for this award ends on December 31, 2026. As set forth in the Department of the Treasury’s implementing regulations, the recipient may use award funds to cover eligible costs incurred during the period that begins on March 3, 2021, and ends on December 31, 2024.

ADMINISTRATION

AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN - PROGRAM
 Program Period - March 3, 2021 through December 31, 2024
 City of Des Moines Allocation = \$9,029,879

Administration Recommendation	JUSTIFICATION (TREASURY CATEGORY)	LT = LIMITED TERM/ONE TIME S = STRUCTURAL	TYPE	AMOUNT	PROGRAM YEAR				
					2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
PROGRAM EXPENDITURES:									
PARKS PROGRAM SUPPORT	Revenue Loss	S		\$ 934,000.00	\$ 434,000.00	\$ 500,000.00			
Field House play equipment project	Revenue Loss	LT		\$ 100,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00			
Evidence van	Public Safety	LT		\$ 60,000.00	\$ 60,000.00				
Body Cams and Program Consultant	Public Safety	S		\$ 250,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 85,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 33,250.00
Police Vehicles (3)/Social worker vehicles (2)	Public Safety	LT		\$ 335,000.00	\$ 80,000.00	\$ 255,000.00			
New Police Officers (2)	Public Safety			\$ 830,000.00		\$ 276,665.00	\$ 276,665.00	\$ 276,665.00	\$ 276,685.00
Lease of Redondo Square space for integrated police agencies	Public Safety	S		\$ 63,000.00		\$ 21,000.00	\$ 21,000.00	\$ 21,000.00	
PROGRAM (NONPROFIT) includes 2 social worker contract positions	COVID Response/ Public Health	LT		\$ 250,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	
SCORE	Public Safety	LT		\$ 250,000.00		\$ 150,000.00	\$ 100,000.00		
HEALTH CARE SUPPORT	COVID Response/ Public Health	LT		\$ 200,000.00		\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	
Establish Non-Profit Foundation	COVID Response/economic development	LT		\$ 100,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00			
MUNICIPAL COURT	Revenue Loss	LT		\$ 550,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 250,000.00	\$ 250,000.00		
E.A.T.S.	Economic Development	LT		\$ 210,000.00	\$ 90,000.00	\$ 120,000.00			
SMALL BUSINESS GRANTS Includes regional partnership \$64,000	Economic Development	LT		\$ 500,000.00	\$ 250,000.00	\$ 250,000.00			
					\$ 32,000.00	\$ 32,000.00			
METRO	Economic Development	LT		\$ 250,000.00	\$ 125,000.00	\$ 125,000.00			
Additional traffic calming (permanent radar feedback signs).	Revenue Loss	LT		\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00				
ADA Compliance program...trip hazard removal, panel replacement, ramp upgrades	Revenue Loss	LT		\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00				
Enhance Human Services Committee budget - 1% of General Fund	Public Health	S		\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00				
TENANT EVICTION RESOURCES	COVID Response/ Public Health	LT		\$ 150,000.00	\$ 150,000.00				
EMERGENCY TRANSITIONAL HOUSING	COVID Response/Public Health	LT		\$ 300,000.00	\$ -	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	
FOOD TRUCKS - LIMITED TERM	Economic Development	LT		\$ 60,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 10,000.00			
MARINA INFRASTRUCTURE	Tourism	LT		\$ 1,750,000.00		\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ 750,000.00		
SR3	Tourism	LT		\$ 75,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 25,000.00			
TENANT RESTROOM	Tourism	LT		\$ 400,000.00		\$ 400,000.00			
Marina/Beach Park people movers	Tourism	LT		\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00				
BUDGET PUBLISHING SOFTWARE	Revenue Loss	LT		\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00				
Emergency Management Comprehensive Plan	COVID/Response	LT		\$ 8,000.00	\$ 8,000.00				
Cool air misters	Public Health	LT		\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00				
Human Resources recruitment and engagement videos	COVID/Response	LT		\$ 14,400.00		\$ 14,400.00			
PRELIMINARY TOTAL				\$ 8,014,400	\$ 1,570,000	\$ 3,364,065	\$ 1,682,665	\$ 527,665	\$ 309,935

SUMMARY OF ARPA RECOMMENDATIONS/REQUESTS

1. Administration recommendations
 - Parks program support (replaces lost revenue for Parks, Recreation and Senior Services) to retain staff based on the uncertainty caused by COVID pandemic (\$934,000)
 - Support new Field House Play equipment (100,000)
2. New paradigm for social services integration with law enforcement to enhance accountability and response to the community.
 - 2 new police officer positions (\$830,000)
 - 2 new social service contracted (\$250,000)
 - Continued lease of space at Redondo Square for multiple agency focus on violent crime (\$63,000)
 - Body cams and program consultant (\$250,000)
 - Evidence van (\$60,000)
 - Vehicles for new police officers and social service contract positions (\$335,000)
3. Health Care support for residents (contract with health care provider) for acute, direct care as decentralized as possible. (\$200,000)
4. Enhance Human Services Committee budget to targeted 1% of General Fund expenditures (\$75,000)
5. Provide tenant eviction resources (\$150,000)
6. Support emergency transitional housing (\$300,000)
7. Support economic development
 - Small business grants program (\$436,000)
 - Regional partnership for small business (\$64,000)
 - Maintain and expand E.A.T.S. program ((\$210,000)
 - Review Food Truck zoning and placements in the City/Marina (\$60,000)
8. Creation of a non-profit grant fund maximum grants of \$10,000/organization (\$100,000)
9. Marina improvements
 - Investment in Marina infrastructure (\$1,750,000)

- Contribute to SR3 – effective interface to protect marine mammals in Puget Sound ((\$75,000)
- Support tenant restroom project ((\$400,000)
- Marina/Beach Park people movers (electrified) to enhance access and utilization of these City facilities during North bulkhead construction and reduction of parking ((\$50,000)

10. Transportation

- Extension of Community Shuttle contract for service with METRO ((\$250,000)
- Additional traffic calming (permanent radar feedback signs) (\$100,000)
- ADA Compliance program = trip hazard removal, panel replacement, ramp upgrades (\$100,000)

11. Municipal Court

1. Court reorg and transition to virtual court activities and process. Municipal Court lost significant revenue from COVID based on reduction of fines, tickets, citations and other sources of revenue. (\$550,000)

12. SCORE

- As a member City and with the withdrawal of Federal Way and reduced revenue due to COVID pandemic, SCORE is experiencing challenges balancing their budget and all member cities will need to step up to insure the continued functionality of our jail, located in Des Moines. (\$250,000)

13. Additional items

- Purchase of cooling misters can be placed at different locations in extreme heat (\$15,000)
- Budget Publishing software to enhance easy access to City budget documents (\$35,000)
- Emergency Management Comprehensive Plan – hire a consultant to update our plan – this is an ongoing legal requirement (\$8,000)
- Human Resources Recruitment and Engagement Videos (\$14,400)

TOTAL RECOMMENDED CITY ADMINISTRATION - \$8,014,400

COUNCIL

APPLICATION TEMPLATE FOR CITY COUNCIL PROGRAMS FUNDED BY AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN
2021 – 2024

COUNCILMEMBER: Traci Buxton, Matt Mahoney

NAME OF PROJECT/EXPENDITURE:

Creation of a Non-Profit Grant Fund

OUTCOME INTENDED:

Create a pool of funds available to assist local non-profits, administered according to standards, and services into the Des Moines community/residents.

LIMITED TERM OR STRUCTURAL (ONGOING)

If Structural, How Will Project/Expenditure Be Funded After 2024

Limited Term

COST TO IMPLEMENT/ANNUALLY

Up to \$100,000 available, distribution maximum of up to \$20,000 per organization.

JUSTIFICATION UNDER FEDERAL GUIDELINES *(attached to this sheet)*

Support of local non-profits

APPLICATION TEMPLATE FOR CITY COUNCIL PROGRAMS FUNDED BY AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN
2021 – 2024

COUNCILMEMBER: Traci Buxton

NAME OF PROJECT/EXPENDITURE:

ARPA Administration Support

OUTCOME INTENDED:

Support in the administration of ARPA funds such as; Grant administration and accountability, Loan Review and Administration, Finance Department Support during audits, data collection, etc.

LIMITED TERM OR STRUCTURAL (ONGOING)

If Structural, How Will Project/Expenditure Be Funded After 2024

Limited Term; for the length of disbursement of and accountability for ARPA funds.

COST TO IMPLEMENT/ANNUALLY

Up to \$250,000 over the span of ARPA disbursement

JUSTIFICATION UNDER FEDERAL GUIDELINES *(attached to this sheet)*

Replacing Revenue

APPLICATION TEMPLATE FOR CITY COUNCIL PROGRAMS FUNDED BY AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN
2021 – 2024

COUNCILMEMBER: Matt Mahoney

NAME OF PROJECT/EXPENDITURE: Airport Issues Support

OUTCOME INTENDED: Ensure we have adequate funding to address SAMP response, and other actions with funding that can match other cities to address concerns our city has with SeaTac Airport growth

LIMITED TERM OR STRUCTURAL (ONGOING)

If Structural, How Will Project/Expenditure Be Funded After 2024

Limited Term, 300K as a resource for support on efforts to represent our city concerning negative impacts the airport causes.

COST TO IMPLEMENT/ANNUALLY

300K spent as needed over 3 years toward, consultants, legal costs, studies, and other issues.

JUSTIFICATION UNDER FEDERAL GUIDELINES *(attached to this sheet)*

Public Health Surveillance and reduction of other negative factors to health and well-being that could contribute to reduction in immunity and susceptibility of resident.

Michael Matthias

From: Anthony Martinelli
Sent: Thursday, January 7, 2021 10:26 PM
To: _CityCouncil; Michael Matthias
Subject: Grant program (do not respond all)

With a new administration taking over soon and the Democrats controlling congress, it's almost certain we will receive more stimulus funds as a city.

Although there are clearly numerous ways this funding could be used - and I have no doubt city staff and admin is already thinking about it and planning for it - I'd like to put my support behind doing a second round of small business grants similar if not slightly larger in scope than the G.R.O program (somewhere between \$400,000 - \$500,000).

However - and this as meant as no offense to those who put the G.R.O program together - I believe that we should establish a third-party to determine how the grants are distributed, similar to what several other cities have done (the Southside Chamber of Commerce, as one example). This would reduce any potential issue (even if just in terms of optics) related to favoritism.

Thank you.

Best,
Anthony

APPLICATION TEMPLATE FOR CITY COUNCIL PROGRAMS FUNDED BY AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN
2021 – 2024

COUNCILMEMBER: Martinelli

NAME OF PROJECT/EXPENDITURE:

Utility Voucher Fund

OUTCOME INTENDED:

To help those struggling to pay their utility payments following the pandemic.

LIMITED TERM OR STRUCTURAL (ONGOING)

If Structural, How Will Project/Expenditure Be Funded After 2024

Limited term.

COST TO IMPLEMENT/ANNUALLY

This could vary greatly and some research should be conducted to determine the specific need within our community. Establishing a \$250,000 fund would allow the city to issue utility vouchers of up to \$250 to up to 1,000 households. I believe this to be the minimum of what we should do, unless it's determine that the need is less.

JUSTIFICATION UNDER FEDERAL GUIDELINES *(attached to this sheet)*

This falls within the guidelines.

APPLICATION TEMPLATE FOR CITY COUNCIL PROGRAMS FUNDED BY AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN
2021 – 2024

COUNCILMEMBER: Martinelli

NAME OF PROJECT/EXPENDITURE:

Community center study

OUTCOME INTENDED:

To conduct a study on the feasibility and logistics of establishing a modernized community center in the Woodmont area.

LIMITED TERM OR STRUCTURAL (ONGOING)

If Structural, How Will Project/Expenditure Be Funded After 2024

Limited term.

COST TO IMPLEMENT/ANNUALLY

N/A

JUSTIFICATION UNDER FEDERAL GUIDELINES *(attached to this sheet)*

This falls within the guidelines.

APPLICATION TEMPLATE FOR CITY COUNCIL PROGRAMS FUNDED BY AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN
2021 – 2024

COUNCILMEMBER: _____JC Harris_____

NAME OF PROJECT/EXPENDITURE: Marina Redevelopment Community Presentation Materials

OUTCOME INTENDED: A set of digital and physical media to communicate and promote Marina Redevelopment

The Marina Master Plan is very complex. The document is good, but it is very difficult for most people to visualize what the experience will be given so many various possibilities. Some of the options discussed compete for the same space. It is also challenging to understand many of the financial aspects, including revenue potential and costs.

It is essential to provide the public with a clear understanding of what this all might mean for the future of the Marina ... and for them. To create that understanding, the City will immediately identify and engage with a specialist in creating media presentations to create a series of materials:

1. **A Virtual Tour Of The Marina.** These are common in residential and commercial real estate. It would consist of a video animation allowing the viewer to “fly over and through” the area and explore what the Marina might look like from several perspectives (birds eye, street level pedestrian, etc.) The animation will demonstrate all aspects of the proposal in the document as far as they can currently be known. It might begin with a ‘before’ fly-over approaching the Marina entrance and showing how the Marina looks now and then transition to an ‘after’ fly-over showing the new elements. It could also give a visitor’s viewpoint taking walk though various features on the land side. The following list of elements to be included is by no means comprehensive but is provided to give a sense of scope:
 - a. Waterside
 - i. The new covered moorage look
 - ii. How guest moorage changes
 - iii. Changes to the fairways
 - iv. Expansion of Ranger
 - v. A view of the APB from the docks
 - vi. A ferry docking
 - vii. Views of the various seawalls – most of the public never sees these and do not understand what it does or the challenges to wildlife. This is important to residents who want to have confidence that the rebuild is compatible with ongoing interest in wildlife
 - b. Landside
 - i. Hotel
 - ii. Pedestrians moving from the ferry to parking
 - iii. Movement of boats going in and out of the APB dry stack to the launch
 - iv. Movement of boats going in and out of the east bank dry stack to the launch
 - v. A view from the condos looking down on the APB
 - vi. Interiors of the APB with proposed uses
 - vii. Pedestrians descending the 223rd stairs
 - viii. A re-purposed harbormaster building
 - ix. Parking flows
2. A series of posters and hand outs, and web pages, crafted at a sixth grade level , explaining the various environmental concerns: why permitting is so costly and so fraught. This is important to residents who want to

have confidence that the rebuild is compatible with ongoing interest in wildlife.

3. A series of posters, hand outs and web pages, crafted at a sixth grade level, explaining the costs, revenue forecasts, permitting challenges, how we intend to finance and also the appropriate uses of ongoing Marina money (eg. how an Enterprise Fund works.)

Important: All these materials will be updated as various elements of the project are approved and a complete set of all revisions will be maintained so that the public can see how the project evolves over time.

These materials will be created to be both self-standing, but also with a presenter in mind. The goal will be to support community meetings where experts from the City and its partners can use these to enhance their presentations and Q&A sessions with the public.

LIMITED TERM OR STRUCTURAL (ONGOING)

If Structural, How Will Project/Expenditure Be Funded After 2024

Limited Term.

COST TO IMPLEMENT/ANNUALLY

\$20,000

JUSTIFICATION UNDER FEDERAL GUIDELINES *(attached to this sheet)*

Tourism and Economic Development

APPLICATION TEMPLATE FOR CITY COUNCIL PROGRAMS FUNDED BY AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN
2021 – 2024

COUNCILMEMBER: _____JC Harris_____

NAME OF PROJECT/EXPENDITURE: Director Of Environmental Strategy

OUTCOME INTENDED: Create an FTE and support staff to manage the environmental assets of Des Moines to optimize long term public health, economic development and tourism

This person's initial tasks to include:

- a) Create a Strategic Climate Action Plan which will be integrated into all planning decision
- b) Develop a strategic approach towards quantifying, reducing and mitigating the negative impacts from Sea-Tac Airport--including issues exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic. Those impacts should be explored across all domains including economic development, tourism, real estate values, educational outcomes, health risks, etc.
- c) Develop a strategic approach towards water quality issues across all three shorelines and water systems in order to enhanced the tourism value of Des Moines
- d) Develop a strategic approach towards tree management, including residential, commercial and forest with a particular emphasis on enhancing the value of tourism
- e) Educate the public and improve awareness to build support
- f) Act as legislative advocate on all related legislation
- g) Identify and develop grant funding to support all these goals
- h) Organize other governments and organizations towards coordinated and strategic responses

Background

Above all, the position of Environmental Strategist is pro-active. The successful candidate will be a person with legal, technical, lobbying and organizational skills who can, above all else *lead* rather than respond. Finding that person is, in itself no small undertaking.

Once on board, the Environmental Strategist's first task will be to create to develop a plan to manage the critical environmental issues of Des Moines, primarily, but not limited to the airport, shoreline and trees. Their job will be to establish clear goals to reduce our climate footprint and to reduce the impacts from external sources such as Sea-Tac Airport.

This person's sole interest will be in protecting the environment and public health of Des Moines.

They will have no other agendas. It has been common practice for cities to assign elected and staff to work on various environmental issues. However, to one extent or another, these individuals always have to take into account competing concerns such as economic development. In order to be successful, the Environmental Strategist *must* have no real or perceived conflicts with their essential mission. It is to be *expected* that they may occasionally be in tension with other City staff over various goals—just as there will always be a healthy tension between development and environmental stewardship.

AIRPORT

The fundamental flaw in all community responses to airport growth over the decades has been that they tend to be reactive. Communities *respond* to events and actions initiated by the Operator and the FAA and almost immediately dissolve once any particular event has been resolved—usually poorly.

The Environmental Strategist’s primary task is to re-think that entire premise. Her job will be to plan, manage and act, *not* react.

To illustrate why this position is needed, and why this is a different approach, consider something quite different from an airport: a coastal town in South Carolina.

A coastal town will have ongoing shoreline management issues (eg. zoning, erosion, water quality, etc.) But they will also have to prepare for major events every few years such as storms. Unfortunately, in the past very few cities did any of that on their own—mainly because they lacked the political will. Ultimately the results were devastating for both residents and taxpayers.

To address this, many years ago the Federal government put into place a set of rules *requiring* such towns to create a management plan. Now, every such town has a professional manager—a trained multi-disciplinary expert whose job it is not only to deal with day to day issues, but also who knows what to do to plan for and respond to those big storms. They have multiple ongoing systems in place to prevent damage and respond effectively; and these are worked out years in advance. They also continuously strategise and lobby for better practices and better funding options at all levels of government. In general, storm damage is now prevented and mitigated many orders of magnitude better now than in prior decades.

From a community viewpoint, an airport is much the same as that coastal town. There should be day to day management—sound and air quality mitigation packages, negotiating flight paths, noise and air quality monitoring etc. But beyond that you also need a strategy in place to prepare for 'storms'—the expansions that occur every 10 years or so.

Unlike hurricanes, airport expansions are predictable. (eg. The SAMP was first discussed in 2012.) So one can develop responses *years* in advance, with legislation, investigation and negotiation. To do that we need to identify and cultivate both talent and funding sources. We need to recognize that Sea-Tac Airport is at bottom, a very complex set of ongoing factory processes. We should be constantly monitoring not only its emissions, but also its procedures and processes.

We also need a continuity of knowledge—the ability to pass on information and insight from administration to administration so that the City learns as it goes and never has to ‘reinvent the wheel’.

Instead, what all airport communities have traditionally done is to *react* to events. They wait until the Operator unveils *their* process (usually environmental review) and they follow *their* playbook (even though that playbook can be predicted to a high degree of certainty.) Cities *outsource* their responses by hiring from a small group of industry insiders who all tend to deploy the same conventional tactics. After the event is resolved, everyone goes home. So at the next event cities have to reinvent the same wheel.

Also: outsourced parties can never develop any true knowledge of the community or its politics. Their entire strategy is based on FAA and NEPA regulations and their generalized experience of working with

other airports. In reality, it is local and regional political factors that determine the major outcomes as much as the formal process. And those factors *cannot* be managed without ‘on the ground’ expertise. In short, **airport management is as much about understanding the politics as it is any legal or technical expertise.**

Beyond that, with each expansion, it is usually *not* electeds who are the first to engage. Various community groups arise and *then* electeds try their best to respond. But each stakeholder has different needs, wants and desires and almost none are true experts. Aside from that, these groups tend to also have the problem of a lack of continuity. They too usually have to re-learn and reinvent the wheel. Worse, they have no statutory authority or access to the politics. All these factors lead them to also end up employing similar conventional tactics.

Lastly, there is the fact that each city also has very different expressed interests and any mutually agreed upon strategy tends to be less than optimal for the City Of Des Moines.

The end results of all these factors are usually years of endless meetings, committees, studies and other very noble efforts that make the public feel like *something* is happening, but which ultimately provide at best only modest benefit.

No City can hope to compete successfully with organizations like the Port Of Seattle or the FAA working this way. The Port has a team of experts in each department and all of them have decades of experience. (Note that many of the same people working on the SAMP were also working on the Third Runway—*that* is institutional knowledge at work.) Communities have no similar expertise, no ongoing strategy, no long term plan and *no continuity*. The Port Of Seattle and the FAA have all those things.

The Environmental Strategist will provide the expertise and leadership necessary to successfully take on the ambitious goals of managing noise and pollution and fairly compensating the residents of Des Moines for the negative impacts from Sea-Tac Airport.

WATER

When it comes to shore and creeks, the City Of Des Moines has an embarrassment of riches. Unfortunately, the management of these resources is governed by many agencies, often with unclear jurisdictions and competing priorities. This makes it difficult to develop a strategy that safeguards public health, protects the environment and optimizes our tourism experience. The Environmental Strategist will create a cohesive strategy to do that. She will also develop a legislative agenda promoting funding for upgrades to infrastructure and smarter shoreline and habitat restoration policies. **This work is essential in order for the City to develop a successful eco-tourism industry.**

TREES

Like the entire region, the City is experiencing an ongoing decline in tree cover and difficulties in maintaining its forested areas. There are many interested parties including residents and business. And again there are many agencies that strive to improve tree cover but no strategic policy for Des Moines or for the region. The Environmental Strategist will establish specific goals to increase tree cover throughout Des Moines and suggest policies to make it happen. She will promote tree planting in residential and business zones. She will develop a legislative agenda promoting funding for parks and

forest management. **This work is essential in order for the City to promote tourism and combat climate change.**

LIMITED TERM OR STRUCTURAL (ONGOING)

If Structural, How Will Project/Expenditure Be Funded After 2024

Limited. The initial funding would cover departmental setup and salaries through 2024 as a proof of concept. In 2024, the department will undergo a performance review at which time a decision will be made to continue funding within the regular budgeting process.

COST TO IMPLEMENT/ANNUALLY

\$1,000,000 allocated as \$200,000 from 2022-2026 (salary for 1 FTE, ½ FTE administrative, setup expenses including travel, research and IT.)

JUSTIFICATION UNDER FEDERAL GUIDELINES *(attached to this sheet)*

COVID Response/Economic Development/Tourism/Public Health

APPLICATION TEMPLATE FOR CITY COUNCIL PROGRAMS FUNDED BY AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN
2021 – 2024

COUNCILMEMBER: _____ JC Harris _____

NAME OF PROJECT/EXPENDITURE: 2nd Metro Shuttle Bus Service

OUTCOME INTENDED: To establish a second and permanent Metro Shuttle for the south end of town with a route going south from Marina/MVD and covering Judson, Huntington Park, Highine College.

LIMITED TERM OR STRUCTURAL (ONGOING)

If Structural, How Will Project/Expenditure Be Funded After 2024

Structural. I would like to understand what opportunities there are for partnering with KC and/or the Port Of Seattle for this permanent service.

COST TO IMPLEMENT/ANNUALLY

I assume the costs are similar to those of the current 216th shuttle?

JUSTIFICATION UNDER FEDERAL GUIDELINES *(attached to this sheet)*

Same as the current Metro shuttle.

APPLICATION TEMPLATE FOR CITY COUNCIL PROGRAMS FUNDED BY AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN
2021 – 2024

COUNCILMEMBER: _____JC Harris_____

NAME OF PROJECT/EXPENDITURE: Marina Dock replacement all-in

OUTCOME INTENDED: To apply the entirety of the ARP funds to immediate dock replacement

I would like the City to research the possibilities of using as much of the \$9M, up to the entire amount, to accelerate dock replacement. Not land side or restrooms. Just the docks.

I would like to research how much/if any cost savings, economic benefits or other advantages there might be in using all or a much larger portion of this money to complete multiple docks. Are there some docks we could use this money to replace now that would immediately start generating more revenue? If so, how much? How much borrowing costs would we save over the long haul?

If not the full \$9M does \$6M give significant benefits? \$4M? I'm trying to get a sense of what the relative benefits (if any) might be to each of these spending points.

LIMITED TERM OR STRUCTURAL (ONGOING)

If Structural, How Will Project/Expenditure Be Funded After 2024
Limited.

COST TO IMPLEMENT/ANNUALLY

No cost

JUSTIFICATION UNDER FEDERAL GUIDELINES *(attached to this sheet)*

COVID Response/Economic Development

APPLICATION TEMPLATE FOR CITY COUNCIL PROGRAMS FUNDED BY AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN
2021 – 2024

COUNCILMEMBER: _____JC Harris_____

NAME OF PROJECT/EXPENDITURE: Business and Marketing Development Fund

OUTCOME INTENDED: Create an FTE position and a fund to promote DM business and assist in business formation for 5 years

I would like the City to create a dedicated business formation program. The program would initially consist of one FTE who's job would be to:

- a) Promote Des Moines businesses, both locally and regionally
- b) Assist new business formation and existing business relocation to Des Moines
- c) Use a dedicated fund to provide start-up money as needed
- d) Provide ongoing surveys, events and other support services to help the business community support and grow their customer bases

LIMITED TERM OR STRUCTURAL (ONGOING)

If Structural, How Will Project/Expenditure Be Funded After 2024

Structural. The initial funding would cover 5 years of salary and a small fund. Beyond that, I anticipate grant opportunities to fund the position or perhaps the businesses themselves might be incentivized to contribute to the fund.

COST TO IMPLEMENT/ANNUALLY

\$1,000,000 (\$200,000 over 5 years)

JUSTIFICATION UNDER FEDERAL GUIDELINES *(attached to this sheet)*

COVID Response/Economic Development

AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN - PROGRAM
 Program Period - March 3, 2021 through December 31, 2024
 City of Des Moines Allocation = \$9,029,879

Community proposals PROGRAM EXPENDITURES:	JUSTIFICATION (TREASURY CATEGORY)	LT = LIMITED TERM/ONE TIME S = STRUCTURAL TYPE	AMOUNT	PROGRAM YEAR				
				2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Kayleen Moon Marina Dock Replacement	Tourism	LT	\$ 9,000,000.00					
Steve Edmiston Airport Community Public Health	Public Health	LT Extends beyond ARPA time frame	\$ 1,500,000.00		\$ 500,000.00	\$ 500,000.00	\$ 500,000.00	
Friends of Saltwater Park Website proposal	Tourism	LT Extends beyond ARPA time frame	\$ 7,500.00					
Paul Nelson Skatepark repairs	Tourism	LT	Financial request not disclosed					
Duwamish-Green Chapter of Trout Unlimited Stream Temperature Monitoring in Des Moines			Unrelated to ARPA fund Suggestion: to review and determine if other resources exist to support this.					

TOTAL 10,507,500

Page 1

COMMUNITY

COMMUNITY

Bonnie Wilkins

From: Kaylene Moon <gkmoon24@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 3, 2021 7:51 PM
To: _CityCouncil
Cc: Kaylene Moon
Subject: marina/ Dock repair

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Council members and City Manager,

I am writing as a long time resident of Des Moines (1958).. I have seen many financial struggles and stifling Debt in Des Moines.

I URGE the council to use the entire \$9 million efficiently to repair the docks NOW . Stay ahead of the debilitating debt that could cripple Des Moines future.

Please be wise and cautious . This huge commitment is where the money is needed, not spread around leaving us in another huge Hole.

Please give this request serious consideration. Thank you..
Kaylene Moon 815 S 216th st . # 2121 Des Moines Wa 98198

Bonnie Wilkins

From: Steve Edmiston <steve@bracepointlaw.com>
Sent: Monday, August 30, 2021 10:51 AM
To: _CityCouncil
Subject: Proposed use of ARPA funds – Improving and preserving quality of life for all residents

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Friends – I apologize for this late submission; hopefully it can be considered by the Council and staff. Thank you for seeking citizen input on the allocation of ARPA funds.

I propose that a significant sum be allocated to better meet our City's current and long-term needs to protect our citizens from the disproportionate impacts of Sea-Tac airport and sustain our quality of life. We have never had adequate funding and staffing to stand up for Des Moines citizens. It is my belief that ARPA funds present a once-in-a-lifetime opportunity to place a flag in the ground for our public health and the environment, for the purpose of preserving our quality of life. I know at least one councilmember has suggested ARPA funds for similar purpose; I believe all council members already support our City efforts to protect our quality of life when it comes to the airport; we have just lacked the resources to effectuate that effort. I suggest this is the time to make a major investment.

Proposal:

- **Establish a five-year " Airport Community Public Health and Environmental Rescue Plan" and associated Plan Account, in which \$1,500,000 from the ARPA funds shall be allocated for expenditures beginning in 2022 and extending through 2027. Key points:**
- **Establish a standalone Des Moines Airport Community Public Health and the Environment Department to:**
 - Address existing public health negative outcomes and disparities relating to noise and pollution in our airport community, exacerbated by/during the Pandemic.
 - Provide represent Des Moines and provide ongoing advocacy directly for the health benefit of Des Moines citizens, particularly in relation to historically inadequate, Port-led entities such as the Highline Forum and StART.
 - Fund independent experts/consultants on an ongoing basis for the benefit of public health and the environment in Des Moines to identify mitigation, advocacy, legislative, and legal opportunities and options.
 - Provide robust communications to and education for Des Moines citizens and our neighbor cities relating to the environmental and public health issues from airport operations, and provide financial support for non-profit and citizen-led initiatives on this subject.
 - Coordinate and lead with other airport neighbor cities to address public health and environmental disparities.
 - Maintain a robust "public health and environmental security fund" to fund rapid responses to efforts to expand Port operations and/or overflights without adequate public health and environmental mitigation for all Des Moines residents.
 - Seek to provide meaningful noise and pollution mitigation for individuals ineligible for FAA airport package grants.
 - Identify and facilitate the purchase properties that will maintain greenbelt and buffer spaces, and replace trees removed by Port expansion and expansion of industrial sites supporting Port expanded activity.

- Assure independent advocacy for public health and the environment that is not the subject of exchange with the Port for economic growth – efforts must be separate from those charged with economic development.
- **Key budget drivers:**
 - 1 FTE new senior-level staff - \$80,000 annually
 - Annual up to \$50,000 annual independent consultant budget
 - Maintain a \$500,000 “public health and environmental security fund” to be able to strategically and adequately respond to efforts by the Port of Seattle and/or FAA to expand overflights without adequate public health and environmental mitigation for all Des Moines residents.

Rationale:

The tremendous public health harms and risk of ongoing and exacerbated harms from overhead flight operations have most recently been documented repeatedly, most recently including in the December 2021 Public Health Seattle and King County’s Report on Community Health and Airport Operations Related Noise and Air Pollution. This Report was prepared at the request for the Washington State Legislature. The link to the report is here: <https://bit.ly/3BjXxGA>. A copy of Public Health’s slide deck, recently presented to the Commercial Aviation Coordinating Commission, is here: <https://bit.ly/3BnwAlw>.

Our City has long (for decades) placed preserving our quality of life – in explicit juxtaposition to airport expansion – in our City’s vision, mission, goals, and state and federal legislative agendas. Unfortunately, the Port of Seattle’s march to expansion and failure to mitigate beyond a bare minimum has continued and will continue as a function of serving a “demand” that the Port seeks to grow. Organizations (Highline Forum, StART, etc.) have – at least from the outside looking in – become vehicles for the one-way dissemination of information and marketing campaigns to the member cities.

- Our federal and state processes (NEPA, SEPA) are failing us by relying on outdated standards. This has been recognized by our State, which is currently taking position in Federal District Court in *Washington v. Navy*, that reliance on compliance with NEPA standards for assessing the harms of aviation noise and pollution are arbitrary and capricious, where emerging science shows federal law is relying outdated, “stale” standards.
- ARPA rules allow for expenditures under several broad categories, including: Support public health expenditures + Address negative economic impacts of the pandemic.
- Washington State, Seattle, and King County Public Health agree that our community faces disproportionate negative impacts from airport operations. See 2020 Aviation Impact Study (Washington State Department of Commerce).
- The PHSKC January 1, 2020 Report on Airport Impacts – health disparities w/n 10 miles (all Des Moines) from aviation operations.
- Our city’s quality of life mission and vision statements, and our Des Moines legislative agenda, have for decades included the stopping Sea-Tac expansion and obtaining adequate mitigation to preserve quality of life in Des Moines.
- ARPA presents a once-in-a-generation opportunity to invest – for the first time – in a comprehensive programs and services to assure, on an ongoing basis, the preservation of our quality of life.

Where will it come from?

I know that the hardest choices are deciding which initiatives will be funded, and which will not; which programs will see their initial allocations increased, and which reduced. I do not envy that work. My only thought is this - the original discussion document out of the City dedicated a very significant sum (I believe \$2+ Million) for a variety of law enforcement / police department purchases. I want to be clear - I support our law enforcement and I do not want them to be under-funded. The challenge for me was that in April of this same year, at our State of the City, our law enforcement made absolutely clear that we/our Department was fully funded and we were quite rightfully proud of

that fact (the last bullet in the presentation was devoted to this point). Given that our April presentation was accurate, because we have adequately funded our police, I believe reducing the ARPA allocation to law enforcement is appropriate, particularly given the never-been-met-before need for funding our public health vis-à-vis our proximity to the airport, and especially for the benefit of our vulnerable populations.

Thank you for your consideration.

Steve Edmiston
steveedmistonQ45@gmail.com
206.372.6647

Dear Des Moines Mayor, City Manager, and City Council:

We are a group of concerned citizens committed to making Des Moines a more inclusive place for all residents. An inclusive community serves and accepts all residents of all races, nationalities, ethnicities, genders, sexual orientations, religions, and of all ability levels. This includes promoting and lifting up the voices and rights of members of the BIPOC and LGBTQ+ communities.

We were pleased to see the Council declare racism a public health crisis in our community. However, we would like to see more substantive action in our community to combat that health crisis. A great first step would be spending ARPA funds in an equitable and anti-racist way. Unfortunately, we see several glaring problems with the current recommendations for the ARPA funds put forth by the City Manager.

The fact sheet for ARPA states that these funds are to:

- "Support urgent COVID-19 response efforts to continue to decrease spread of the virus and bring the pandemic under control;
- Replace lost public sector revenue to strengthen support for vital public services and help retain jobs;
- Support immediate economic stabilization for households and businesses; and,
- Address systemic public health and economic challenges that have contributed to the inequal impact of the pandemic on certain populations."

The categories for use of funds listed and detailed in the fact sheet are:

- "Support public health expenditures, by funding COVID-19 mitigation efforts, medical expenses, behavioral healthcare, and certain public health and safety staff;
- Address negative economic impacts caused by the public health emergency, including economic harms to workers, households, small businesses, impacted industries, and the public sector;
- Replace lost public sector revenue, using this funding to provide government services to the extent of the reduction in revenue experienced due to the pandemic;
- Provide premium pay for essential workers, offering additional support to those who have borne and will bear the greatest health risks because of their service in critical infrastructure sectors; and,
- Invest in water, sewer, and broadband infrastructure, making necessary investments to improve access to clean drinking water, support vital wastewater and stormwater infrastructure, and to expand access to broadband internet."

We fail to see how providing 25% of all ARPA funds to the Des Moines Police Department is an appropriate use of these funds. The reasoning provided by the City Manager was that there are several officers out on leave. This seems like a problem that should already be accounted for in the city budget, and does not require four permanent

officers to replace short term leaves. None of the other police expenses are pandemic related expenses, like having a car for every single officer or an additional evidence van. This group does support the body worn cameras, but also believes those should already be funded by the city budget, not through emergency relief funds for those most affected by the pandemic. Providing funds to law enforcement does not fall under any of the categories in the ARPA fact sheet, nor are law enforcement or police specifically called out as in need of funds (unlike educators, truck drivers, janitors, health care workers, farm and restaurant workers, etc.).

We also fail to see how providing another 25% of the ARPA funds to the marina infrastructure and a restroom for marina tenants is an appropriate use of funds. Many of the city's most wealthy residents live near the marina and benefit from the marina. ARPA funds are intended, "to meet pandemic response needs and rebuild a stronger, more equitable economy as the country recovers." Providing 25% of those funds to marina infrastructure and a private restroom while only providing 2% to mental health resources and 2% to health care support is not equitable. Providing almost two times more funding to a marina tenant restroom that is not for the public than for mental health supports is not, **"Addressing systemic public health and economic challenges that have contributed to the inequal impact of the pandemic on certain populations."**

The City Manager has proposed using 50% of the funds that are intended to support those most affected by the pandemic on police and a partially private marina. This is completely unacceptable for our community and we strongly oppose those two specific items.

ARPA funds should be used as they were intended. For mental health supports, to support BIPOC communities, LGBTQ+ communities, health care workers, educators, restaurant workers, farm workers, water, sewer and broadband infrastructure, and to support small businesses. It has been proven that these communities and industries have been most negatively affected by the pandemic, not DMPD and the marina.

We propose that a citizen advisory commission and a 3rd party be brought in to vet how these funds are being used and to make sure that their use aligns with the original purpose of the funds. We also propose that a public meeting be held (and well advertised) for citizens to share what they believe the funds should be used to support. Citizens of our wonderful city have already been left out of enough decisions in this pandemic because of the lack of transparency in decision making, and mayoral selection of advisory boards. The current council and city manager have not shown that they can make decisions that support our citizens that need it most. The city needs to be actively seeking community input for this unprecedented and historic use of funds, not simply posting a list of recommendations for citizens to agree or disagree with.

We do not send this letter simply to get a written response, but to start an ongoing discussion and community process to decide how these funds are spent. If we all work together and have productive, evidence-based discussions, we can create a more equitable and inclusive community for ALL Des Moines residents. We look forward to your response and further discussion.

Thank you.

Signed:

Samantha Scown
DeVaughn Wilson
Meg Tapucol-Provo
Kelby Blosser
Toni Ann Hanskett-Mills
Bree Davidson Caswell
Josh Leigh
Keith Williams
Jennifer Topham
Alice Heartgrove-Thorell
Mary Daisey Schuster
Scott Sparrowhawk
Candice Holder
Jayme Wagner
Marnie Sevores
Michelle McAlister
Terry Almquist
Amber Kitson
Elizabeth Tilque
Lisa Patton
Cynthia Volkmann
Yoshiko Grace Matsui
Azeb Abraha
Meghan Palmer
Liz Taylor

Bonnie Wilkins

From: paul nelson <paulloisnelson@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 28, 2021 9:57 PM
To: _CityCouncil
Subject: Skatepark repairs

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Hello Des Moines city council.

I saw someone suggest emailing to voice our opinions about spending of federal funds, so I figure I should throw in my two cents. I have read that we are considering doing repairs on the current skatepark. Please consider replacing the skatepark entirely. The layout is nonsensical, primarily due to placing a large feature in the center with smaller surrounding, so speed can't be built towards the large from small. The transitions are obviously not designed by skateboarders. Most of the features are not challenging in any way, they're frankly just bad.

I love living here and would love to stay local to skate my park, but I've been once and never returned. SeaTac is simply too close (not to mention del ridge, south park, etc...) with amazing skateparks.

The proposal I've seen mentions repaving and adding metal coping. Please, from the bottom of my 36 year old, likely well over a hundred skatepark visited heart.. hear me when I say this, coping and rough concrete are tip of the iceberg of problems at our skatepark. I've been to far rougher skateparks that are worlds better.

Grindline, evergreen, spoh ranch, Dreamland are all skateparks run by skaters who will build an amazing park, even with a small foot print. Grindline is west Seattle based, evergreen is Oregon based.

With the influx of new/incredible Parks popping up consistently (north bend, Snoqualmie, downtown Seattle, maple valley, south park additions) it would be a shame to spend funds slightly improving something that really needs replacing.

Thanks!
Des Moines rules!
Paul Nelson (a homeowner on Occidental Ave)

Dear Des Moines Mayor, City Manager, and City Council:

We are a group of concerned citizens committed to making Des Moines a more inclusive place for all residents. An inclusive community serves and accepts all residents of all races, nationalities, ethnicities, genders, sexual orientations, religions, and of all ability levels. This includes promoting and lifting up the voices and rights of members of the BIPOC and LGBTQ+ communities.

We were pleased to see the Council declare racism a public health crisis in our community. However, we would like to see more substantive action in our community to combat that health crisis. A great first step would be spending ARPA funds in an equitable and anti-racist way. Unfortunately, we see several glaring problems with the current recommendations for the ARPA funds put forth by the City Manager.

The fact sheet for ARPA states that these funds are to:

- "Support urgent COVID-19 response efforts to continue to decrease spread of the virus and bring the pandemic under control;
- Replace lost public sector revenue to strengthen support for vital public services and help retain jobs;
- Support immediate economic stabilization for households and businesses; and,
- Address systemic public health and economic challenges that have contributed to the inequal impact of the pandemic on certain populations."

The categories for use of funds listed and detailed in the fact sheet are:

- "Support public health expenditures, by funding COVID-19 mitigation efforts, medical expenses, behavioral healthcare, and certain public health and safety staff;
- Address negative economic impacts caused by the public health emergency, including economic harms to workers, households, small businesses, impacted industries, and the public sector;
- Replace lost public sector revenue, using this funding to provide government services to the extent of the reduction in revenue experienced due to the pandemic;
- Provide premium pay for essential workers, offering additional support to those who have borne and will bear the greatest health risks because of their service in critical infrastructure sectors; and,
- Invest in water, sewer, and broadband infrastructure, making necessary investments to improve access to clean drinking water, support vital wastewater and stormwater infrastructure, and to expand access to broadband internet."

We fail to see how providing 25% of all ARPA funds to the Des Moines Police Department is an appropriate use of these funds. The reasoning provided by the City Manager was that there are several officers out on leave. This seems like a problem that should already be accounted for in the city budget, and does not require four permanent

officers to replace short term leaves. None of the other police expenses are pandemic related expenses, like having a car for every single officer or an additional evidence van. This group does support the body worn cameras, but also believes those should already be funded by the city budget, not through emergency relief funds for those most affected by the pandemic. Providing funds to law enforcement does not fall under any of the categories in the ARPA fact sheet, nor are law enforcement or police specifically called out as in need of funds (unlike educators, truck drivers, janitors, health care workers, farm and restaurant workers, etc.).

We also fail to see how providing another 25% of the ARPA funds to the marina infrastructure and a restroom for marina tenants is an appropriate use of funds. Many of the city's most wealthy residents live near the marina and benefit from the marina. ARPA funds are intended, "to meet pandemic response needs and rebuild a stronger, more equitable economy as the country recovers." Providing 25% of those funds to marina infrastructure and a private restroom while only providing 2% to mental health resources and 2% to health care support is not equitable. Providing almost two times more funding to a marina tenant restroom that is not for the public than for mental health supports is not, **"Addressing systemic public health and economic challenges that have contributed to the unequal impact of the pandemic on certain populations."**

The City Manager has proposed using 50% of the funds that are intended to support those most affected by the pandemic on police and a partially private marina. This is completely unacceptable for our community and we strongly oppose those two specific items.

ARPA funds should be used as they were intended. For mental health supports, to support BIPOC communities, LGBTQ+ communities, health care workers, educators, restaurant workers, farm workers, water, sewer and broadband infrastructure, and to support small businesses. It has been proven that these communities and industries have been most negatively affected by the pandemic, not DMPD and the marina.

We propose that a citizen advisory commission and a 3rd party be brought in to vet how these funds are being used and to make sure that their use aligns with the original purpose of the funds. We also propose that a public meeting be held (and well advertised) for citizens to share what they believe the funds should be used to support. Citizens of our wonderful city have already been left out of enough decisions in this pandemic because of the lack of transparency in decision making, and mayoral selection of advisory boards. The current council and city manager have not shown that they can make decisions that support our citizens that need it most. The city needs to be actively seeking community input for this unprecedented and historic use of funds, not simply posting a list of recommendations for citizens to agree or disagree with.

We do not send this letter simply to get a written response, but to start an ongoing discussion and community process to decide how these funds are spent. If we all work together and have productive, evidence-based discussions, we can create a more equitable and inclusive community for ALL Des Moines residents. We look forward to your response and further discussion.

Thank you.

Signed:

Samantha Scown
DeVaughn Wilson
Meg Tapucol-Provo
Kelby Blosser
Toni Ann Hanskett-Mills
Bree Davidson Caswell
Josh Leigh
Keith Williams
Jennifer Topham
Alice Heartgrove-Thorell
Mary Daisey Schuster
Scott Sparrowhawk
Candice Holder
Jayme Wagner
Marnie Sevores
Michelle McAlister
Terry Almquist
Amber Kitson
Elizabeth Tilque
Lisa Patton
Cynthia Volkmann
Yoshiko Grace Matsui
Azeb Abraha
Meghan Palmer
Liz Taylor



Friends of Saltwater State Park

friends.saltwater.statepark@gmail.com

Washington Scuba Alliance
 FBO Friends of Saltwater State Park
 31811 Pacific Hwy S #B307
 Federal Way, WA 98003

Dear Des Moines City Council Member JC Harris,

The Friends of Saltwater State Park is a volunteer organization in support of Saltwater State park for patrons and our environment. We have actively engaged with the park and our community for 5 years. Since our inception the Friends continues to make important contributions improving the park's environment, engaging and educating visitors, providing opportunities to volunteer and explore and preserve the natural environments of Saltwater Park. The Friends are unique consisting of dedicated volunteer stewards that specialize in the forest environment, McSorely creek watershed, marine environments and outreach for groups and schools. We advocate for public health by monitoring water quality in the marine park and in McSorely Creek. To improve our ability to connect with potential visitors and patrons we are applying for ARPA Stimulus Funds requesting \$7500. These funds will be used to hire a professional to design a website for us and maintain it for 5 years.

The work of the Friends greatly enhances the park value as a tourist destination for a variety of activities including, events such as Earth Day. Community safety such as access to the water, underwater maps, and safety features aids divers results in Oregon and Eastern Washington dive clubs enjoy the park. Native plants exploration attract people come from Issaquah to enjoy the forest trails and visitors that fly in make a point to visit Saltwater.

A website will improve our visibility in the community and promote a welcoming, inclusive environment for people of color and those who speak a language other than English. As one of our recruiting tools it will help us replenish our volunteer pool, provide resources for interactive education and events, guides and other experiences. Our goal is to make their next visit to the park inspiring. We want people to feel that Saltwater is their "go to" place to recreate, to be a positive part of the solution by volunteering and, when possible, to provide financial support.

Our attached proposal provides details. However, if there is any additional information needed, please let me know. We are excited to share our passion with you and the City council. We look forward to continuing to work together for the betterment of public, the park, the environment, the community and the city of Des Moines.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Rhoda H, Green

Rhoda Green
 Chairperson, Friends of Saltwater State Park
 (425)652-7699

Check us out on face book: <https://www.facebook.com/SWSPDesMoinesWA/>

Washington Scuba Alliance, FBO Friends of Saltwater State Park, is a non-profit organization and your donation is tax deductible. No goods or services were exchanged. TIN: 91-1568264

Website Proposal for Friends of Saltwater State Park

Target

- We want to improve our ability to connect with the community in a way that informs the public and generates volunteers and funding. This connection will enhance our mission of support to Saltwater State Park for the betterment of patrons and the environment.

Who is affected?

- The people of Des Moines and the surrounding community benefit from improved visibility of opportunities for recreation, renewal and volunteerism. The focus on increased diversity will include people of color and those who speak languages other than English.

Why it is important?

- The natural world around us is constantly being subjected to forces that degrade it. The state parks are part of the way that people can access the wonders of our beautiful state. Saltwater Park has the unique blessing of both land and saltwater natural areas that attract people from the community and from areas beyond. To keep these natural wonders in good shape and provide access, the volunteers work to improve conditions. The Friends group has a variety of activities that contribute to improvement and monitoring of the land and water ecosystems. Examples are McSorley Creek water monitoring, beach surveys, fish and invertebrate surveys, maintenance of the Marine Protected Area marker buoys, removing invasive species (with a special focus on ivy). We also provide environmental education for adults and children. These activities need to be supported with volunteers and funding. Informing the public of opportunities to connect with nature, volunteer and contribute funds is critical.

Challenges

- Website quality
- Ease of use
- Inclusion of other languages
- Accessibility by handicapped will be an emphasis.
- Providing regular updates of content
- Maximizing our reach to the public, as well as potential volunteers and donors

Proposed solution

- Hire the work done by a professional and use elements from other websites that we think will fit best with our needs.
- We propose finding a professional and contracting for initial build plus upkeep for five years. This includes maintenance and SEO (Search Engine Optimization).

More details of the proposal

- The website will tell the story of not only the Park but the evolution of the Friends volunteer group.
- Our vision includes having four areas of focus:
 - Land

Check us out on face book: <https://www.facebook.com/SWSPDesMoinesWA/>

Washington Scuba Alliance, FBO Friends of Saltwater State Park, is a non-profit organization and your donation is tax deductible. No goods or services were exchanged. TIN: 91-1568264

- Creek
- Marine world
- Outreach
- Each of these will have background, ongoing projects and future opportunities
- Budget
 - \$5000 Initial design and development.
 - Annual maintenance of \$500/yr. Managed hosting which keeps all your plugins and the theme updated, Newsletter support, translations, calendar update, QR codes. Total \$2500
- Schedule
 - Let contract by October 31, 2021
 - Build completed build by February 28, 2022
 - Maintenance until February 28, 2027

Check us out on face book: <https://www.facebook.com/SWSPDesMoinesWA/>

Washington Scuba Alliance, FBO Friends of Saltwater State Park, is a non-profit organization and your donation is tax deductible. No goods or services were exchanged. TIN: 91-1568264

Duwamish-Green Chapter of Trout Unlimited

Project proposal—City of Des Moines, WA

STREAM TEMPERATURE MONITORING IN DES MOINES

Background:

Puget Sound is the southern part of the larger Salish Sea located within the State of Washington. It is the third largest estuary in the United States. Throughout Puget Sound there are numerous rivers and streams that face challenges. The City of Des Moines has nearshore creeks of various sizes that connect directly to Puget Sound. Some of these streams are fish bearing and run through urbanized areas and recreational areas.

Land uses surrounding these streams have changed over time and some might be polluted with toxins and suffer from low dissolved oxygen due to urbanization.

Higher water temperatures are also a consequence of changes in local land uses and have a direct effect on stream health and fish health.

There are communities in the state that face increased health disparities and environmental exposures are one factor. More work needs to be done in the Puget Sound area to determine if there is a direct connection between impaired streams and health disparities, although this correlation has been demonstrated in other parts of the country.

Stream temperature data are relatively easy to collect and can be very informative for assessing stream health and fish habitat conditions. This relevant scientific information can help determine stream temperature trends, stream and riparian conditions, and stream restoration effectiveness. Due to current technology, data can be collected within close proximity of stream sensors and does not require in stream work or frequent visits to sites. This can involve more Trout Unlimited members as well as other community members.

Why Monitor?:

The State of Washington maintains a list called the 303(d) list of Impaired Waters. The three proposed streams to monitor temperatures in Des Moines are all listed Category 5 and are all listed as Impaired Waters by Department of Ecology. The listing may be from a combination of water quality criteria such as pollutants, temperature, or dissolved oxygen.

Trout and Salmon need cold water. Monitoring water temperatures is the best method to determine if stream conditions are suitable for fish in the face of climate change or other stressors that urban fish bearing streams face. All three of the proposed creeks have recreational components such as parks and trails and having healthy streams with fish populations is a positive for recreation.

This project proposes to monitor stream temperatures long term on an on going basis to establish baseline conditions, long term trends, and to collect information before and after actions in the creek such as restoration.

When to Monitor:

Project would record temperatures year round, night and day, most likely hourly so max/min temperatures would be recorded. The proposed equipment in the stream is battery operated (batteries replaceable) and can last 3-5 years between batteries.

Where to Monitor:

Three nearshore creek systems within the City of Des Moines that support fish populations.

**Des Moines Creek
Massey/Barnes Creeks
McSorley Creek**

3 sensor locations in each creek system—locations TBD based on representative habitat.

Equipment:

In stream data loggers from Onset Computer Corporation, Pocasset, MA 02559

TidbiT MX 2203 Temperature Data Logger	\$129 x 10 units.	\$1290
--	-------------------	--------

Assorted site hardware and tools:

PVC housing parts	\$ 6 x 10 units.	\$60
Rebar.	\$ 10 x 9 sites.	\$90
Zip ties.	\$ 12 x 1 pack.	\$12
Tools and box.	\$ 35.	\$35
Office Supplies.	\$ 25.	\$25
Laptop computer (data storage, analysis, and sharing).	\$600.	\$600

Total:		\$2112.00
---------------	--	------------------

Data will be stored by the Duwamish-Green Chapter of Trout Unlimited and will be shared as needed and requested.

In addition to local storage, TU's Science Team has a stream temperature database and data will be stored there as a backup.

Recommended citations:

Dauwalter, D., K. Fesenmyer, P. Holden. 2018. Stream temperature monitoring handbook. Version 3. Trout Unlimited, Arlington, VA.

Lost Urban Creeks, Anna Bachman, April 23, 2021, Puget Soundkeeper's Lost Urban Creek Project.

Department of Ecology, State of Washington, Washington State Water Quality Assessment, 303(d)/305(b) List

Submitted by:

Duwamish-Green Chapter of Trout Unlimited
PO Box 98048
Des Moines, WA 98198

duwamishgreentu@gmail.com

COMMENTS

Bonnie Wilkins

From: JJ <josrjohnson@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 24, 2021 11:56 AM
To: _CityCouncil
Cc: Michael Matthias
Subject: ARPA fund recommendations - Transit, housing & alternate funds for police and marina

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

To the Des Moines City Council,

I'm writing in response to the July 22, 2021 announcement from City Administration on recommendations given to the City Council on how to spend 9 million dollars in ARPA funds.

I'd like to voice my dissent to how the City Administration has prioritized funds and that some of the recommendations do not seem to be in line with guidelines for use of ARPA funds.

I'd like City Council to consider the following in making adjustments to the recommendations. I hope the City Council will take the recommendations of city residents into consideration.

1. Invest more in transit with ARPA. Currently only \$300,000 is recommended for Metro. I'm assuming this means KC Metro. To give you insight about the current lack of bus lines and facilities in Des Moines, yesterday I arrived at Angle Lake via light trail. It's two buses (when they're running) for me to travel 4 miles. Lines are so infrequent that I often choose to walk or bike. If Des Moines would like to develop its downtown, develop businesses, and support the working class people who staff and patronize those businesses, then Des Moines will need to invest in transit.

I'm sure you all individually experienced the 100F heat wave that came through several weeks ago. Fire season is here. Mt. Tahoma's snow cover is dwindling. Investing in public transit means reducing greenhouse gases produced by fossil fuels. The time to act is now and Des Moines has a role to play.

2. Invest more in affordable housing with ARPA. Seattle Times had a great article earlier this summer on food insecurity in King County due to the pandemic. 22% of Des Moines residents use WIC, SNAP, or other programs to access food in 2020 AND 2021. Des Moines is full of working class people who need access to affordable housing in walkable, connected, dense neighborhoods where they have quick access to everyday services and local businesses, jobs and schools. **City Council can make a big statement with a big investment in housing.** \$300,000 for rental assistance is a start, but it's a very small gesture where a big statement should be.

3. Find other funds for expansion of police force, new police programs. ARPA funds aren't meant to expand police departments or their material assets (such as body cams, vehicles, military surplus vehicles, etc.) past what was impacted due to loss of revenue due to the pandemic. \$2.2 million in any case seems excessive for a town of 29-31,000 residents. Budgets are moral documents and I find this use of funds to be counter to what working people and working poor people in this community need.

4. Find other funds for the marina plan. Again, judging from the latest comments on the Marina Master Plan, it's unclear how the marina has suffered from loss of revenue specifically due to the pandemic and ensuing economic downturn. While I'm sure the marina is a part of city revenue, \$2 million seems excessive in the face of lack of detail, and seems like an inappropriate use of these funds. Whatever work the city wants to do with the marina, ARPA funds do not seem the appropriate source, unless it is directly related to proposed express ferry transit (which is outside the scope of the Marina Master Plan).

To circle back to point number (1), Puget Sound is nothing without its wildlife. We just experienced massive shellfish and other invertebrate die-offs from the heatwave. What is the marina without a living Puget Sound?

Finally, I hope that City Council reconsiders the recommendations made by the City Administration. There are basic services that sorely need attention in this city (and King County in general) and spending nearly half of \$9 million on a marina and expansion of a police force seems out of line with guidance provided by the National League of Cities and out of line with the purpose of ARPA funds, for which the **focus should be infrastructure, transit, climate change, and economic relief for everyday people.**

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Jos Johnson

Bonnie Wilkins

From: Azeb Hagos <hagosinasc@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 23, 2021 6:59 PM
To: _CityCouncil; mmatthais@desmoineswa.gov
Subject: American rescue plan

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Hi there,

Thank you for your work and efforts in assessing and determining how our town distributes ARP funds. I'd like to know if the city will be conducting official feedback sessions and/or a community engagement event prior to finalizing the current plan posted on Facebook and shared at last night's council meeting? If so, could you point me in the direction to find out how to participate as a citizen of Des Moines. Also, are there examples of how neighboring towns are investing the funds to help stabilize, strategize and organize in recovering from the pandemic shutdown (not sure if that's the best way to describe our situation but I don't know how else to say it 😊).

Best,
Azeb Hagos
206-859-0453

Bonnie Wilkins

From: Tad Doviak <taddoviak@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 24, 2021 11:31 PM
To: _CityCouncil
Subject: SLFRP item

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

I would like to propose the funding of fixing the sewer on 19th Ave S between S 257th and S 259th Streets. There has been a problem with the sewer here for years and it is causing problems with potholes and the street buckling in addition to sewage drainage and back up. It's one of many local projects that apparently have been put on the back burner.

Tad Doviak

Bonnie Wilkins

From: David Denino <denino@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 31, 2021 12:28 PM
To: _CityCouncil; Michael Matthias
Subject: ARPA funds / Loss of Revenue calculation for the City of Des Moines

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Des Moines Council Members and City Manager,

In light of the recently recommended extension of the ARPA review until September 16th, and the extended opportunity for City Council to provide suggestions for specific uses of the funds, I've been reviewing the federal guidelines with an eye toward giving informed feedback to my representatives.

My understanding is that general infrastructure projects outside of water, sewer and broadband are only eligible to be funded with ARPA funds to the extent that they do not exceed revenue lost due to the public health emergency, as calculated using the methodology provided in the Treasury Department's Interim Final Rule.

Has the council been advised of a specific Lost Revenue figure to use when considering how much of the \$9,029,879 in ARPA funds can be put toward projects outside of the specific provisions of the act? May I please know what that figure is, for my own consideration?

Many Thanks,

David Denino

Des Moines, WA

206-438-3575

Bonnie Wilkins

From: Azeb Hagos <hagosinasc@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 12:36 AM
To: _CityCouncil; Michael Matthias
Subject: Parks master plan and American Rescue plan funds

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Thank you to the council members who showed up at the midway national night out/parks and rec master plan open house tonight! I didn't get the chance to say hello since I was busy with my young kids and infant daughter. But I did see you and appreciate you being out in the neighborhood. I sent some feedback to our parks/rec folks and wanted to share this with you as you all continue to provide funding ideas for the American rescue plan. I recognized there is some funding for parks and rec in the currant plan and hope this amount increases. Between the rapidly increasing air flights with noise hovering over homes, little to no walkable sidewalks that keep kids safe and encourage healthy walks in the neighborhood, and little improvement in our quality of living for kids and families in south Des Moines through our parks and rec department; my family's quality of life is incredibly poor. We are proud citizens of this city, have lived here for over a decade and plan to stay and raise our kids Des Moines.

Also, although I'd still would have liked some sort of open house/town hall or community presentation for the American Rescue plan funding in our town; I'm glad to see the deadline has been slightly extended to allow for a bit more time for community input. Thanks for that shift!

My thoughts are below:

To: parksmasterplan@desmoineswa.gov
Subject: Master plan thoughts and ideas

Hi there,

It was great to see the team out at Midway today! Thanks for your efforts to gather community feedback. I have some ideas that we talked about and thought I'd follow up here. I did receive the mailed parks and rec survey and plan to return it. I mentioned it to a few folks at midway that some of my neighbors heard of the survey and assumed every household would be receiving one. People who did receive the survey would not have known it was random unless they opened the envelope and read the letter. Folks may not have opened the questionnaire (thinking everyone in the city got one). This may be a reason for low responses if you don't get a large turnout for the returned surveys. Perhaps maybe a notice out the community may help (unless your getting the expected rate of response; then that's wonderful 😊). While on this topic I wanted to share we have a good sized middle eastern, East African and Eastern Indian population. I noticed and was happy to see there was a Spanish interpreter present to support our Spanish speaking residents to participate in the open house event. Many other non English families were present at the park with children playing and not engaged in the open house (several lingered by the posters but walk away without fully participating). You may want to consider adding in interpreters or using a language line for other non English speaking families in our population so they are able to actively and comfortably participate as well (same for the written surveys).

My family and I are excited some new things are coming. Overall we do need an actual community center. There was a question about 3 phrases to summarize what we are looking for in the new plan. Things for upper elementary and young adolescents to do. Our thoughts are fun/adventure, walkable botanical garden trails and diversity in art. We shared thoughts about having an

- outdoor roller skate space
- small/easy and long/challenging zip lines,
- younger kids tricycle race track,
- open paved spaces for town competitions events such as make your own robots/race cars/boats/pumpkins...etc where kids/families can make things take them to the park and race them against other kids in the community for friendly competitions,
- metal music pipes or music making structural instruments,
- trail walkable botanical garden,
- kid themed garden beds or just plain extra garden beds,
- spray park/kiddie waddle pool,
- large rope climbing structure (like the pyramid in Renton),
- life sized board games (checkers, chess, tic tac tow...etc. ground can be painted or have professional structures and the pieces can be at the public library to be checked out/borrowed and returned).

We do need more art pieces to extend past the marina areas and throughout the city (waterfalls, uplifting sculpture pieces like the amazing Asian laughter piece in Vancouver... any happy pieces are welcome). In particular, it is vital and necessary that our art paintings and art structure pieces include faces beyond white kids/people. We are an incredibly diverse community and I see little printed, art drawings that include kids of color (even in small things such as our city pamphlets and community pool newsletters). Or perhaps maybe I'm missing it if we do have a good variety. But for example the new (and awesome!) Underwood park includes pictures of only white boys on playground structures and a quote from a famous white baseball player (nothing is wrong with either of these things at all & we love Babe Ruth). However my kid of color loves baseball and should read/see a reflection of himself in his community art/playgrounds as well. Please be mindful when purchasing or commissioning new art pieces to include faces that represent our diverse community and quotes from famous leaders and powerful figures from a variety of backgrounds.

Our area in south Des Moines/Sonju park area is in serious need of critical attention (I mentioned this previous to the 2016 parks and rec master survey but nothing was done to improve our neighborhood quality in terms of parks and recreation in fact there has even been a slight decline in what we used to have being taken out). I plan to raise my small kids here. We have a number of growing residents with young children in South Des Moines. I sincerely hope the biggest part of the master plan and funding is not just focusing on adding to the midway park but yet this time around takes a hard look at stretching funds to reach kids/families in south Des Moines. We have no where to go in walking distance of our homes and most the kids/families I know leave to Kent/federal way for parks/rec. In particular we have very little walking sidewalks and coyotes come up to our backyard by way of the untamed city trails and green belts making it unsafe for our kids to enjoy time at home in the backyard or walking the neighborhood.

You all are doing some fantastic work and it looks like you've been busy. Thank you for what you are doing! I've written my contact info on the sign up sheet at today's open house and look

Bonnie Wilkins

From: Sandra D Mock <saundram@me.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 27, 2021 2:14 PM
To: _CityCouncil
Subject: Please take some time

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Not sure why ya'll are trying to rush through the covid money plans; let's take some time and get some constituent input for Pete sake!

Why does it need to be decided immediately and why have you made the decisions you have?

Have you ever considered repairing the failing Marina wall and devote some to its development? That would be a legacy piece and ensure we have a Marina in the future. As it is you are robbing the Marina to pay for the city's debt. That needs to stop if we are to have a Marina at all! There are so many posts that need replacement and development to be done down there...take some time to considered the covid money's use! And please don't squander it on businesses!

They can fend for themselves bad get their own covid money!

Thank you!

Sandra Mock
206-818-1042
Sent from my iPhone

Bonnie Wilkins

From: Joshua Leigh <leighj28@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 24, 2021 11:40 AM
To: _CityCouncil
Subject: ARPA funding

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Hi Council,

I just watched the council meeting from 7/22 that was posted here: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S6WNLVFct6k>

During the meeting, there was a discussion around the city managers proposal on how to spend the ARPA money the city has received. During the proposal, there was a line item that will be a structural cost that will only continue to increase with time. Row 10 indicates over 500K per year in a new recurring cost. With annual raises and pensions, this will grow over the career of these 4 new officers. This ARPA grant is a ONE time and we should NOT be putting this grant money towards this line item with ARPA funds. If the DMPD needs to hire (which in April they didn't. per below), that should be a separate conversation and detached from ARPA due to the ongoing costs associated with it.

PROGRAM EXPENDITURES:	JUSTIFICATION (TREASURY CATEGORY)	STRUCTURAL		2021	2022
		TYPE	AMOUNT		
POLICE DEPARTMENT:					
Evidence Van	Revenue Loss	LT	\$ 60,000	\$ 60,000	
Body Cams and Program Consultant	Revenue Loss	S	250,000	100,000	85,000
Police Vehicles	Revenue Loss	LT	255,000		255,000
New Police Officers	Revenue Loss	S	1,660,000		553,130

The city manager stated the need to hire 4 new FTE heads because some current officers are on light duty (short term thing) or have put in paternity leave (again short duty). Once these officers come back, DMPD will be over head count by 4 heads and no option to reduce head count. Just 3 months ago during the "State of the city", DMPD was both at full capacity and full funding.

On April 15, 2021 during "State of the City", it was announced the DMPD is at full capacity and even expanded to have 2 K9 units.

PUBLIC SAFETY, JUSTICE AND LEGAL

4 patrol teams at full capacity (Include 4 Officers and 1 Sergeant each), plus 2 K9 Units

Crime Analytics and Investigative Forensics assigned to a Senior Detective

Interagency partnerships – US Marshalls, ATF, FBI, and Valley SWAT, VIIT

Code Enforcement

Behavior Health Co-Responder Program

Dash cameras, body cameras and drones

Community policing as a cornerstone to our mission

Examples of these programs are:

- National Night Out/Block Watch/Business Watch

- Camera Registration Program

- Citizens Academy

- Graffiti Abatement Program

- Das Moines Police Foundation:

- Shop With a Cop & Coffee with a Cop

- Reading Program

- Specialty Coffee from Coffee to Cops

You can rewatch the Chief's remarks here: <https://youtu.be/4mxCdChw4ck?t=4441>

Next slide goes into how the department is fully funded as is.

POLICE REFORMS AND ACCOUNTABILITY

30

Ken Thomas ▶

- Compliance with community and police recommendations
- 8 Can't Wait policy recommendations
- Full participation and compliance with I-940 and State Legislation
- Valley Independent Investigative Team
- Body cameras
- **Mental Health Focus – Co responder behavioral health program leadership**
- **State Accredited Law Enforcement Agency**
- **Fully funded Police Department**

Timestamp here: <https://youtu.be/4mxCdChw4ck?t=4940>

There are a lot of other areas that can be used for one time funding where ongoing costs will not balloon over the coming decades or help establish pilot programs to see if they work in our community.

Potential options include:

Restorative community pathways

Teen programming

Expansion of mental health programs

or hard infrastructure ideas (no guarantee congress will pass either a soft or hard package) such as
Community Center for pac ridge

More street lights as our city is woefully inadequate in terms of providing safe walking paths at night on city streets

Funding for parks department that still can't meet their 2016 proposals

Partnering with PSE to move power lines underground while adding fiber optic to allow broadband for all residents and will attract businesses who need the bandwidth

Regardless, it's very discouraging to see that only a day later after proposing these ideas, the city has **ALREADY** posted and is actively recruiting for the 4 new officers with large bonuses and salaries that are significantly above what open positions at King County Sheriff's currently are offering.

Postings as of 7/23: <https://desmoineswa.applicantpro.com/jobs/>

<https://desmoineswa.applicantpro.com/jobs/>

Plant Care Specialist-Extra Hire

Public Works Des Moines, WA, USA Until Filled Extra-Hire Variable Hour Part-Time (Summer)

Police Officer - Academy Graduate - Immediate Openings (\$2,000 Hiring Bonus)

Police Department Des Moines, WA, USA 31-Dec-2021 Regular Full-Time

Police Officer - Entry - Immediate Openings

Civil Service_Chief Examiner Des Moines, WA, USA 31-Dec-2021 Regular Full-Time

Police Officer - Lateral - Certified Out of State - Immediate Openings (\$10,000 Hiring Bonus)

Civil Service_Chief Examiner Des Moines, WA, USA 31-Dec-2021 Regular Full-Time

Police Officer - Lateral - Washington State Academy Certified - Immediate Openings (\$10,000 Hiring Bonus)

Civil Service_Chief Examiner Des Moines, WA, USA 31-Dec-2021 Regular Full-Time

There has been zero feedback from either council or the community yet the city is spending time and resources on hiring positions that hopefully won't even exist. This is just another example of the city manager ignoring feedback and process to forge his own unilateral way forward.

I really hope common sense and logic prevail and we do not use a one time grant to fund long term costs for the city.

Thank you for your time,
Joshua Leigh

Bonnie Wilkins

From: Rebecca Stapleton <beckystapes@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 25, 2021 8:30 PM
To: _CityCouncil
Subject: Ideas to best utilize the \$9M Federal Grant

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Hello City Council,

I am very appreciative that you have expressed interest in the public's ideas on how to best utilize the \$9 M federal grant funds in DesMoines.

My ideas are as follows:

- The City of DesMoines online reporting system is disfunctional and makes it extremely hard to actually report anything online. I would highly recommend making something more user friendly as this is likely how most reports will come in as we move forward. Particularly the section where you add an address is ridiculous. It wouldn't even accept any version of the word Des Moines, DesMoines, with a space, without a space...it kept saying it wasn't accurate and wouldn't let me submit a report. We all live in DesMoines, there is already a question at the beginning of the report asking if the incident was in DesMoines. The entire address section is a series of unnecessary hurdles that are an example of why people in the community don't even both with notifying the city of issues. Please fix this system. https://www.desmoineswa.gov/departments/police/online_crime_reporting
- DesMoines has one of the highest local taxes add to our PSE bills. It is ridiculous. This must stop. Most of us are barely scraping by to pay for our electric bills, it makes absolutely no sense that our little town has higher PSE taxes than most of this state. If the city needs the money for something, then take it out of the \$9 M and reduce our local PSE taxes to benefit everyone in the city.
- Use the money to pay for projects that the city already has lined up, such as the Marina redevelopment, sea wall, new bathrooms, staircase, Red house project, add a public boat launch and boat storage projects, internet system.
- Please fix the atrocious cement 'wall' just under the 'outlook park'. This wall is very ugly and it is the entrance to our amazing park. Plus, the wall is cracking and looks unstable. I highly recommend fixing this wall at the north entrance to the Marina park, adjacent to the sidewalk down to the entrance of the park.
- I love the planters that are around the city. And, unfortunately 6 to 8 months out of the year they are empty and an eye sore. The good news is that there is a super each and affordable solution. Please add at least 1 Evergreen plant into each of the planters. Then in the summer you can continue to add in pretty color flowers and in the winter there will be pretty greenery that the rain will keep alive (just as it does in our gardens).
- There is a lot of crime happening on 6th Avenue South, especially in the parking lot just outside of the South Shores condo (and Accross from the Coronado Townhomes). The Coronado Townhomes, SouthShores condo and Bill and Patti Linscott (I have been told), all support putting in lighting in that area that is sort of secluded by the large hedge. I believe that two poles (one light facing south and another facing north) would be sufficient. Perhaps it could also be at least partial solar in order to save electricity. As long as the lights are facing north and south, they will not likely affect the close neighbors.
- With all of the sewage that has recently leaked into the marina area, and the large algae bloom problem that we have had for years, I propose that we find a way to clean marina water. Also, is there a way to get a back up generator, so that the sewage plant does not 'dump' again when the power goes out?
- I love the Salmon 'pen/hatchery' that we had a few years ago in our marina. Can we add a more permanent program so that we can increase our salmon population to support the local Orcas? This would be a great way for Des Moines to do our part to support growing the sealife population in our area.

- Would be wonderful to have a full restaurant in the marina park area.
- Emergency Masks/Kit - It would be great if the city could buy every resident a few real N95 emergency masks. These will be for future emergencies, either the next horrible virus and/or unhealthy air from the wildfires. The real N95's are now available again, made in the USA, and approved on the CDC website, I have more details if you are interested. An add on to this idea would be to give an emergency kit to everyone in the community that wants one - though this would be a lot more money.
- Fix the Marina pay for parking system. I have always thought that the 'arm' was a bad idea and it really turned out to be. It is very frequently broken. We need to either fix the current system that we have, or create a whole new system of pay to park and writing tickets. Something needs to change, either way.
- We need more trees in DesMoines, They help clean our air, make it a safer, healthier and more sustainable place for us all to live, as well as help reduce the plane noise. There are at least 3 very large ugly empty lots in the marina/downtown area, most of them are surrounded by fences and completely abandoned for years. The city can either buy the land, or request that the owners beautify the land. It would be awesome to plant trees and take down the fence, so that we can all enjoy the beauty instead of these horrible eye sores.
- This would also be a great time to add solar panels to all buildings that are big enough, ex: city buildings, local condos and companies that are willing to participate. The savings of these solar panels can help reduce the electricity costs for the entire city
- Complete the sidewalk on the west side of 6th between 225th and 223rd. This may also help solve the crime situation in that secluded area.

Thank you for considering my ideas.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Stapleton

Bonnie Wilkins

From: Harry Steinmetz <hsslaw@me.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 19, 2021 7:51 AM
To: _CityCouncil; Michael Matthias
Subject: ARA Priority

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear colleagues:

When prioritizing how and where to spend the ARA funds, please keep in mind the looming housing crisis. We need to do all we can to keep people in their homes and to protect the local landlords who have put their savings into rental property in Des Moines.

The prospect of hundreds of people losing their place to live is very disturbing. Equally disturbing is the prospect of local residents going bankrupt because they can no longer support their rental property. I hope you can find a way to focus the relief on Mom and Pop rentals and landlords in our city.

I've attached an article from the Seattle Times that provides some background information.

While this problem should not be the only focus of the ARA funds, it should be a high priority.

Thank you for your consideration.

Harry S. Steinmetz

<https://www.seattletimes.com/business/real-estate/king-county-lags-in-distributing-rent-assistance-for-tenants-landlords/>

Sent from my iPhone

Bonnie Wilkins

From: Yoshiko Matsui <spark.matsui@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 10:41 PM
To: _CityCouncil
Subject: ARPA Funding process

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Des Moines City Council Members,

I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments about the proposed spending for the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) monies allotted to the City of Des Moines. I'm a resident of the North Hill neighborhood and have lived in the City for fourteen years.

As we are still in a declared State of Emergency due to the on-going Covid-19 global pandemic, I would like to see more funding to be directed to public health outreach and education. According to King County Public Health Department data, the City of Des Moines is still deemed a high exposure area for virus transmission. Our city, by comparison to all of King County, has a higher confirmed positive cases rate per 100,000 residents. We have higher positive case rates than Sea-Tac and Burien (<https://kingcounty.gov/depts/health/covid-19/data/daily-summary.aspx>). It's even more troubling that our Black and Latinx populations make up nearly 46% of all positive cases. More should be done on the local level to combat the spread of Covid-19. Please consider partnering with appropriate agencies to increase testing, increase vaccination rates, and educate residents on the negative health outcomes associated with Covid-19.

Personally, I discourage the City from spending ARPA funding on temporary staffing. The supplemental support to the Police department to backfill Officers on leave is not the best use of one-time funding. Instead, I propose the City use this opportunity to invest in infrastructure or capital projects that were deferred during Covid-19. A tremendous amount of funding has been earmarked for the Marina, but there is not enough infrastructure to support the surrounding areas in downtown Des Moines. For example, sewer overflow caused Des Moines beaches to be closed for days in June of this year. If we spend \$2 million on Marina projects but fail to support roads, sidewalks, and our water and sewer utilities, we will not have invested wisely. Special Purpose districts were not eligible for direct ARPA funding and it's unknown how much funding may be allocated to small utilities in future infrastructure/Covid relief packages. The Marina will be a more vibrant, attractive destination if there are safer streets and reliable utility services. ARPA funding could also be directed to upgrade the data storage and AV needs in the Council Committee meeting rooms to allow for continued remote meetings.

While I support the benefit of funding SR3, I find it odd that one non-profit organization was singled out for funding. I recommend the City Council designate a program for 501(c)(3) organizations to apply for ARPA funding. There are many organizations serving residents of Des Moines that deserve the opportunity to apply for funding. As a volunteer at the Des Moines Area Food Bank, I can attest that families rely on the fresh produce and shelf staples provided by the Food Bank. This program could be assisted by the Human Services Advisory Committee, who are already well educated about which organizations are in need of support.

I applaud the funding designated for tenant eviction resources, mental health support, and emergency transitional housing, although the documents in the City Council packet do not describe these programs with enough detail. Other cities have funded childcare, worker retraining programs, youth internships, and directed

funding to BIPOC communities who disproportionately were impacted by Covid-19. I encourage the City Council to work with impacted communities on how more of this funding could directly improve their health and well-being.

ARPA proposed spending priorities released July 22 have generated a great deal of conversation and debate within our community. It's clear from the published letter from the "Residents for an Inclusive Des Moines" group, an email from former Des Moines Aviation Advisory Committee member Steve Edmiston, and many other comments received that residents have strong reactions to the proposed funding. It's clear to me that we, as a community, are not in agreement on how to use this "once in a lifetime" windfall. Because there is more time to plan for ARPA spending as opposed to the C.A.R.E.S. Act funding, I ask you to form an advisory committee to craft and direct funding priorities so that more residents and community members can engage in this process. Standing up an ARPA Advisory Committee would increase community engagement and inject insight from a broad range of perspectives through direct involvement from (and not limited to) the following communities: BIPOC , LGBTQI+, renters, homeowners, business owners, veterans, youth, seniors, immigrants, refugees, and other stakeholders in Des Moines. The Municipal Research and Services Center (MRSR) has recommended cities take the time to form an Advisory Committee. I urge you to involve more diverse voices in this important moment in our City's history.

Thank you for your time and attention,
Yoshiko Grace Matsui, M.Ed
302 S. 216th St.
Des Moines WA 98198