City of Des Moines 2020 City Manager Performance Review

Overview:

You are being provided with this form and are asked to complete it according to your honest evaluation of the City Manager's performance.

At the core, effective performance reviews are primarily about meaningful communication. It is essential that the Mayor and Council communicate clearly and unambiguously regarding areas of performance which are functioning well, and areas where performance improvement is possible. But it is also critical for the team to acknowledge the difficult situation a manager is in when the Mayor and Council have differing views on what they expect of the manager.

This evaluation form is designed to accomplish both of those objectives, and then to serve as a foundation for meaningful, honest and open communication as a team.

<u>Instructions for Completing the Form:</u>

- 1. Each Councilmember should answer each of the following items as honestly and objectively as possible, remembering that the role of the city manager is to follow the direction of the entire council, not that of individual council members.
- 2. Put your name on the review form since this process is designed to communicate each of the Council members varying Council desires and expectations to assist in achieving the above mentioned goals.
- For the section on Leadership and Management, please HIGHLIGHT in yellow the descriptor which you believe best describes the city manager's performance on that particular characteristic.
 - a. On any item you score as "Poor" or "Unacceptable", please provide specific comments regarding why you have scored that item the way you have. If you cannot describe why you believe improvement is needed and how, then you cannot reasonably expect improvement to occur.
- 4. For the section on **New Objectives**, please list any new objectives you would like for the Council to consider establishing for the City Manager. Please remember that individual council members cannot establish objectives for the City Manager, only the City Council as a body can do so.
- 5. For the section on **Other Weaknesses** please provide specific details on particular areas in which you would like to see improvement.

- a. On any item you list as "Other Weaknesses", please provide specific comments regarding why you have listed that item and the specific changes you would like to see. If you cannot describe why you believe improvement is needed and how, then you cannot reasonably expect improvement to occur.
- 6. For the section on **Other Strengths** please provide insight into any areas in which you feel the City Manager has demonstrated strength that is not otherwise discussed on this form.
- 7. Once you have completed the form, please email it back to the City Attorney.
- 8. The City Attorney will provide all completed evaluation forms to the Mayor who will prepare a composite evaluation form that reflects the individual scores of each Council member on a single combined form using a color coded key of how each Council member scored each item to facilitate optimal understanding and meaningful discussion. Each Council Member and the City Manager will all receive a copy of the composite form at the evaluation workshop to facilitate and guide the discussion.
- 9. If you do not have a response for a specific area, you may simply type "N/A" in the answer.
- 10. The composite form will utilize color coding according to the following key:

KEY:

Name of Official Completing the Review:

LEADERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT

PLANNING - Abili (Unacceptable)				ns; maps effective solutions. (Excellent)
Comment:				
ORGANIZING - At (Unacceptable) Comment:	•	•	•	
SUPERVISION - B adjusts performa (Unacceptable)	nce as nec	essary.	•	es direction, monitors and (Excellent)
Comment:				

DELEGATION – E (Unacceptable)	_			
Comment:				
TIMING - Makes d (Unacceptable)				
Comment:				
financial conditio (or achieve) a so	n; manage und, long acement a	ement practice range financial and maintenanc	s and polic condition ce of equip	sely reports and projects the cies are designed to maintain - uses debt cautiously, plans ment and infrastructure. (Excellent)
Comments:				
FORGES COMPR inherent in munic (Unacceptable)	ipal gover	nment; is a go	od negotia	
Comments:				
projections and s these tools are av	cientific e ailable.		extent tha	est available facts, at resources permit, insures (Excellent)
Comments:				

SENSITIVITY - Lis others; communi				s and circumstances of
(Unacceptable)	(Poor)	(Acceptable)	(Good)	(Excellent)
Comments:				
	erning boo			urately interprets the direction ed with concise oral and
(Unacceptable)		(Acceptable)	(Good)	(Excellent)
Comments:				
				l available to the public, takes he public's right and need to
be well informed;	is visible	in the commun	nity.	
(Unacceptable)	(P00I)	(Acceptable)	(G000)	(Excellent)
Comments:				
				ufficient information to keep team; understands their
(Unacceptable)	(Poor)	(Acceptable)	(Good)	(Excellent)
Comments:				

CREATIVITY - Abi solutions.	lity to read	ch for effective	, and when	necessary, inventive
(Unacceptable)	(Poor)	(Acceptable)	(Good)	(Excellent)
Comments:				
HONEOT FAIR (No			d
(Unacceptable)				
Comments:				
ADAPTABLE - Re	sponds po	sitively to a ch	nanging wo	orld and changing local
conditions; does (Unacceptable)	not cling to	o the status qu	o for its ov	vn sake.
Comments:				
RESILIENT - Energy and motivation maintained in spite of constant demands; handles stress well.				
(Unacceptable)	(Poor)	(Acceptable)	(Good)	(Excellent)
Comments:				
HUMOR - Maintair (Unacceptable)		res an approp (Acceptable)		of humor to lighten the load. (Excellent)

Comments:				
HIRING - Recogni efforts to insure to (Unacceptable)	he best av	ailable individu	als are rec	
Comments:				
		ements effective (Acceptable)		s to limit liability and loss. (Excellent)
Comments:				
LEADERSHIP - Gu (Unacceptable)		•	(Good)	(Excellent)
Comments:				
SUCCESSFUL - In (Unacceptable)	n spite of li (Poor)	mited resource (Acceptable)	•	t happen. (Excellent)
Comments:				
the governing boo				e direction of the majority of out recognizes their
concerns. (Unacceptable)	(Poor)	(Acceptable)	(Good)	(Excellent)

Comments:				
ETHICAL - Confor ICMA, knows, follo (Unacceptable)	ows and p	romotes the "I	CMA Code	
Comments:				
JOB KNOWLEDG	E - Has a s	solid understan	nding of all	phases of municipal
government. (Unacceptable)	(Poor)	(Acceptable)	(Good)	(Excellent)
Comments:				
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT - Takes action to acquire new knowledge and skills. Encourages employees to do the same.				
(Unacceptable)				(Excellent)
Comments:				
CREDITS GOVER makers; does not	feel a pers	sonal ego.	-	complishments to the policy
(Unacceptable)	(Poor)	(Acceptable)	(Good)	(Excellent)
Comments:				

OPERATIONAL EF spent.	FICIENCY	- Obtains the	best possil	ole end result for the money		
(Unacceptable)	(Poor)	(Acceptable)	(Good)	(Excellent)		
Comments:						
COMPLETION OF PRIOR OBJECTIVES - Considering the resources available, how well CM implemented prior objectives.						
(Unacceptable)	(Poor)	(Acceptable)	(Good)	(Excellent)		
Comments:						

NEW OBJECTIVES

List any new priorities you would like the City Council to establish for the City Manager.

OTHER WEAKNESSES

List any other areas in need of improvement.

OTHER STRENGTHS

List other strengths which you feel are notable.